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#### Abstract

An integrative taxonomic analysis of the Ptychozoon lionotum group across its range in Indochina and Sundaland recovers P. lionotum sensu lato Annandale, 1905 as paraphyletic with respect to $P$. popaense Grismer, Wood, Thura, Grismer, Brown, \& Stuart, 2018a and composed of four allopatric, genetically divergent, ND2 mitochondrial lineages. Multivariate and univariate analyses of continuous and discrete morphological and color pattern characters statistically and discretely diagnose each lineage from one another and together, with maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference analyses, provide the foundation for the recognition of each lineage as a new species-hypotheses corroborated with a Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent species delimitation analysis. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. ranges throughout Peninsular Malaysia to Pulau Natuna Besar, Indonesia; P. kabkaebin sp. nov. is endemic to northern and central Laos; and P. tokehos sp. nov. ranges from southern Thailand south of the Isthmus of Kra northward to Chiang Mai, fringing the Chao Phraya Basin and ranging southward through Cambodia to southern Vietnam. Ptychozoon lionotum sensu stricto ranges from northwestern Laos through southern Myanmar to eastern India. The phylogeographic structure within each species varies considerably with $P$. lionotum s.s. showing no genetic divergence across its $1,100 \mathrm{~km}$ range compared to $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. showing upwards of $8.2 \%$ sequence divergence between syntopic individuals. Significant phylogeographic structure exists within $P$. tokehos sp. nov. and increased sampling throughout Thailand may require additional taxonomic changes within this species.
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## Introduction

Parachute Geckos of the genus Ptychozoon Kuhl \& van Hasselt, 1822 are among some of Asia's most intriguing lizards in that their highly specialized, nocturnal, arboreal lifestyle revolves around crypsis and parachuting
or gliding from one tree to another. Despite a myriad of behavioral and morphological adaptations (Brown et al. 1997; Dudley et al. 2007; Heyer \& Pongsapipatana 1970; Marcellini \& Keefer 1976; Russell et al. 2001; Young et al. 2002) necessary to support this peculiar life style, the 10 nominal species manifest a considerable degree of morphological and color pattern variation among them that has enabled systematists to construct reasonably robust taxonomies for nearly two centuries (Gray 1827; Boulenger 1899; Stejneger 1902; Annandale 1905; Taylor 1915; Brown et al. 1997; Brown 1999; Das \& Vijayakumar 2009; Sumontha et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2016; Grismer et al. 2018a). However, recent molecular-based phylogenetic reconstructions have revealed that the most widely distributed species, P. kuhli (Stejneger, 1902) and P. lionotum Annandale, 1905, are likely to be species complexes composed of deeply divergent, allopatric, mitochondrial lineages (Brown et al., 2012; Grismer et al., 2018a). In the most recent phylogeny delimiting the newest species of the genus P. popaense, Grismer et al. (2018a) noted-with limited sampling ( $\mathrm{N}=8 \mathrm{mtDNA}$ sequences and preserved specimens)-additional phylogeographic structure within P. lionotum across Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, and Laos in spite of the fact that their sampling did not include specimens from the southern extreme of this species' distribution in Peninsular Malaysia (Grismer 2011a). We extend that study here with increased sampling ( $\mathrm{N}=36 \mathrm{mtDNA}$ sequences and 44 preserved specimens) from across P. lionotum's broad range including Peninsular Malaysia (Fig. 1). Our integrative taxonomic analysis not only recovered additional, highly divergent lineages bearing notable phylogeographic substructure, it also demonstrated that $P$. lionotum as currently constituted, is paraphyletic with respect to $P$. popaense. Therefore, in order to construct a taxonomy consistent with this groups' evolutionary history, we describe three new allopatric, phenotypically distinct, diagnosable, and divergent mitochondrial clades from Peninsular Malaysia, Thailand and Cambodia, and Laos, respectively. While conducting this study, we came across two specimens accessioned in their institutional collections as P. lionotum that represent significant range extensions of P. kaengkrachanense Sumontha, et al., 2012 in Thailand as well as a new country record of P. trinotaterra Brown, 1999 for Laos. The descriptions and range extensions are discussed below.

## Materials and methods

Species delimitation. The general lineage concept (GLC: de Queiroz 2007) adopted herein proposes that a species constitutes a population of organisms evolving independently from other such populations owing to a lack of gene flow. By "independently," it is meant that new mutations arising in one species cannot spread readily into another species (Barraclough et al. 2003; de Queiroz 2007). Integrative studies on the nature and origins of species are using an increasingly wider range of empirical data to delimit species boundaries (Coyne \& Orr 1998; Fontaneto et al. 2007; Knowles \& Carstens 2007, Leaché et al. 2009), rather than relying solely on morphology and traditional taxonomic methods. Under the GLC implemented herein, molecular phylogenies were used to recover monophyletic mitochondrial lineages of individuals from the same geographic areas (populations) in order to develop initial species-level hypotheses. Various univariate and multivariate analyses of morphological and color pattern data were then used to search for statistical concordance among those mitochondrial lineages in support of their hypothetical species-level designation (i.e., species boundaries). These species boundaries were subsequently cross-checked using a Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent (GMYC) approach (Pons et al. 2006), thus providing an additional framework to complement the empirically based hypotheses of the morphological and molecular analyses.

Molecular data and analyses. The final, aligned sequence dataset contained 47 ingroup samples representing eight of the 10 nominal species of Ptychozoon. Unfortunately, the sampling of tissue material from $P$. nicobarense Das \& Vijayakumar, 2009 and P. kaengkrachanense Sumontha et al., 2012 was neglected at the time of their collection. However, all species of the P. lionotum group (sec. Grismer et al. 2018a; P. bannaense Wang et al., 2016, P. popaense Grismer et al., 2018a, and P. lionotum Annandale, 1905) were represented in the ingroup sampling. Pseudogeckko compressicorpus (Taylor, 1915); Pseudogekko smaragdinus (Taylor, 1922); Luperosaurus cumingii (Gray, 1845); L. angliit Brown, Diesmos \& Oliveros, 2011; L. iskandari Brown, Supriatna \& Ota, 2000; L. macgregori Stejneger, 1907; Ptychozoon rhacophorus (Boulenger, 1899); and Gekko vittatus Houttuyn, 1782 composed the outgroups following Brown et al. (2012) in part and were used to root the tree. All new sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

| Taxon | Catalog number | Locality | GenBank no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pseudogekko compressicorpus | KU 324426 | Philippines, Bohol Province, Municipality of Sierra Bullones | JQ437898 |
| Pseudogekko smaragdinus | KU 302819 | Philippines, Quezon Province, Municipality of Polillo | JQ437897 |
| Luperosaurus cumingii | TNHC 61910 | Philippines, Luzon Island, Albay Province | JQ437902 |
| Luperosaurus angliit | KU 322189 | Philippines, Aurora Province, Municipality of Baler | JQ437903 |
| Luperosaurus macgregori | KU 314021 | Philippines, Batanes Province, Municipality of Basco | JQ437905 |
| Ptychozoon rhacophorus | P 0500 | East Malaysia, Sarawak, Gunung Penrissen | JQ437913 |
| Gekko vittatus | USNM 533255 | Solomon Islands, Santa Cruz Island, Temotu, Luesalo | JN019073 |
| Luperosaurus iskandari | MZB 2114 | Indonesia, Sulawesi Island, Central Sulawesi Province, $\sim 4 \mathrm{k}$ E Dunsun Satu, Kecamatan, Pagimana, Kabupaten Banggai | JQ437906 |
| Ptychozoon bannaense | SYS r001237 | China, Yunnan, Mengyang, Xishuangbanna | KU570000 |
| Ptychozoon bannaense | SYS r001242 | China, Yunnan, Mengyang, Xishuangbanna | KU570001 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | JAM 1426 (4) | Ulu Gombak, Selangor, Peninsular Malaysia | JQ437916 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 5539 (2) | Pulau Sibu, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803392 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 5597 (2) | Pulau Sibu, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803391 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 5783 (9) | Temengor, Perak, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803390 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 6437 (3) | Tekek-Juara Trail, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Peninsular Malaysia | JQ437917 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 8709 (8) | Pulau Perhentian Besar, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803386 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 9059 (8) | Pulau Perhentian Besar, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803385 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 9409 (7) | Reng Reef, Pulau Redang, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803381 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 9447 (13) | Gunung Machinchang, Pulau Langkawi, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803389 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 9891 (10) | Lata Tembaka, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803387 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 10648 (12) holotype | Along the road to the top of Gunung Jerai, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803388 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 10978 (5) | Hutan Lipur Lata Belatan, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803383 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11234 (5) | Hutan Lipur Lata Belatan, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803379 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11235 (10a) | Gunung Tebu, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803378 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11236 (5) | Hutan Lipur Lata Belatan, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803384 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11418 (6) | Pulau Bidong, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803382 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11419 (6) | Pulau Bidong, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803380 |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11439 (6) | Pulau Bidong, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803377 |

TABLE 1. (Continued)

| Taxon | Catalog number | Locality | GenBank no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. | LSUHC 11894 (2) | Pulau Sibu, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia | MK803376 |
| Ptychozoon horsfieldii | ZRC 2.5339 | East Malaysia, Sarawak, Lambir Hills | JQ437907 |
| Ptychozoon intermedium | CMNH 4746 | Philippines, Mindanao Island, Davo City Province, Municipality of Calinan Barangay Malagos, Baguio District. | JQ437908 |
| Ptychozoon intermedium | CMNH 4747 | Philippines, Mindanao Island, Davo City Province, Municipality of Calinan Barangay Malagos, Baguio District. | JQ437909 |
| Ptychozoon intermedium | CMNH 4748 | Philippines, Mindanao Island, Davo City Province, Municipality of Calinan Barangay Malagos, Baguio District. | JQ437910 |
| Ptychozoon intermedium | TNHC 56144 | Philippines, Mindanao Island, Davo City Province, Municipality of Calinan Barangay Malagos, Baguio District. | JQ437911 |
| Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. | NUOL 00036 (32) | Laos, Khammouan Province, Boualapha District, Phou Ack | MH809360 |
| Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. | NCSM 80585 (33) holotype | Laos, Bolikhamxay, Pakkading, Houay Ta Ang Stream | MH809359 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | LSUHC 4819 | West Malaysia, Selangor, Kepong, FRIM | JX440536 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | LSUHC 7640 | West Malaysia, Johor, Endau-Rompin | JQ437926 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | MVZ 239350 | Indonesia, Sumatra, Kabupaten Bengkulu, Kecematan Kepahiang | JQ437922 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | MVZ 239588 | Indonesia, Sumatra, Kabupaten Bengkulu, Kecematan Kepahiang | JQ437922 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | ND 7517 | West Malaysia | JQ437928 |
| Ptychozoon kuhli | RMB 1134 | West Malaysia | JX041423 |
| Ptychozoon lionotum | CAS 221168 (37) | Myanmar, Bago Division, Yemwe Reserve Forest, Chaung Gwa Village | JX041424 |
| Ptychozoon lionotum | CAS 238865 (39) | Myanmar, Rakhine State, Kyaukpyu Dist, Yanbye Township, Chin Minbyin Village | MH809362 |
| Ptychozoon lionotum | NCSM 79473 (36) | Laos, Luang Phabang, Province Tad Kuang Si Provincial Protected Area | MH809363 |
| Ptychozoon lionotum | NCSM 79474 (36) | Laos, Luang Phabang, Province Tad Kuang Si Provincial Protected Area | MH809361 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | CUMZ.2005.07.30.40 (17) | Thailand, Nakkon Si Thammarat, Khao Luang National Park | JQ437914 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | FMNH 261853 holotype (25) | Cambodia, Kampong Speu Province, Phnom Srouch District | JQ437915 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | USNM 587523 (19) | Myanmar, Tanintharyi | MK803372 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | CBC 03162 (27) | Cambodia, Phnom Samkos | MK803374 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | NCSM 98986 (27) | Cambodia, Bokor National Park | MK803375 |
| Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. | NCSM 98987 (27) | Cambodia, Bokor National Park | MK803373 |
| Ptychozoon popaense | LSUHC 13507 | Myanmar, Mandalay Division, Mt. Popa, Kyauk-pa-taung Township | MH809358 |
| Ptychozoon popaense | LSUHC 13508 | Myanmar, Mandalay Division, Mt. Popa, Kyauk-pa-taung Township | MH809357 |
| Ptychozoon trinotaterra | ROM 31912 | Vietnam, Dac Lac province, Yok Don, Yok Don National Park | JQ437912 |
| Ptychozoon trinotaterra | NCSM 77915 | Laos, Khammouan Province, Nakai District, WMPA headquarters, Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area | MK803371 |



FIGURE 1. Distribution of Ptychozoon lionotum, P. cicakterbang sp. nov., P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and P. tokehos sp. nov. Diamond designated localities are non-specimen based and considered tentative. Their sources are: (1) Pulau Natuna Besar, Indonesia (Leong et al. 2003); (14) Hat Yai, Songkla, Thailand (LSUDPC 10946); (18) Ko Samui, Surat Thani, Thailand (LSUDPC 10948); (22) Dong Paya Fei Mounatains, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand (Smith 1935); (23) Chantabun, Chanthaburi, Thailand (Smith 1935); (24) Phnom Samkos, Pursat, Cambodia (LSUDPC 10949-53); (28) Phu Quoc Island, Kiem Giang Province, Vietnam (Nguyen et al. 2009); (29) Trang Bom, Dong Nai Province, Vietnam (Nguyen et al. 2009) however this specimen may be P. trinotaterra (see Distribution section for P. tokehos sp. nov.); (30) Cat Tien, Dong Nai Province, Vietnam (Nguyen et al. 2009); and (41) Siracha, Chanthaburi, Thailand (Smith 1935). Numbered locations for the specimen-based localities are listed in Tables 1 and 6-9 and on the tree in Figure 2.

Genomic DNA was isolated from liver or skeletal muscle (preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol or DMSO/EDTA solution) using the Maxwell® RSC Tissue DNA kit on the Promega Maxwell® RSC extraction robot or on an AutoGenprep 965 (AutoGen, Inc.) with an overnight digestion in proteinase-K and M1-2 buffers and the standard animal protocols. We amplified a fragment of ND2 using a double-stranded Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) under Grismer et al.'s (2018a) conditions: $1.0 \mu \mathrm{DNA}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ light strand primer $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ heavy strand primer, $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dinucleotides, $2.0 \mu \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{x}$ buffer, MgCl 10 x buffer, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Taq polymerase, and $7.56 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ultra-pure H 2 O (Grismer et al. 2018a). We performed PCR reactions on Bio-Rad gradient thermocyclers under the following conditions: (1) denaturation, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 120 \mathrm{~s}$, (2) second denaturation, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \mathrm{~s}$, (3) annealing, $48-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \mathrm{~s}$, (4) extension, $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \mathrm{~s}$ (5) repeated steps $2-4$ for 31 cycles. Successful PCR products (visualized on agarose gels) were outsourced to GENEWIZ® for PCR purification, cycle and sequencing, or were purified using ExoSap- $\mathrm{IT}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and sequenced in both directions using a BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit on an Automated ABI3730 Sequencer (Life Technologies). All were sequenced using the same primers as in the amplification step (Table 2). Sequences were determined in both directions to confirm congruence and both reads were edited in GeneiousTM version v6.1.8 (Drummond et al. 2011). The ND2 protein-coding region and the three tRNAs were aligned using the MAFTT v7.017 (Katoh \& Kuma 2002) plugin under default settings available in GeneiousTM. We calculated the correct amino acid reading frame for the protein coding region and confirmed the absence of stop codons in Mesquite v3.04 (Maddison \& Maddison 2015).

TABLE 2. Primer sequences used in this study for amplification and sequencing the ND2 gene and the flanking tRNAs.

| Primer name | Primer reference |  | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L4437b | (Macey et al. 1997) | External | 5'-AAGCAGTTGGGCCCATACC-3' |
| H5934 | (Macey et al. 1997) | External | 5'-AGRGTGCCAATGTCTTTGTGRTT-3' |

Two model-based phylogenetic analyses, maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI), were employed to reconstruct the evolutionary history of Ptychozoon. The ML analysis was implemented in IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) and used a Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) to calculate that HKY+F+G4 was the bestfit model of evolution for the tRNA, GTR $+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ the best-fit model for the first codon position, $\mathrm{TIM} 3+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ for the second codon position, and TIM2+F+I+G4 for the third codon position. Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation (UFB; Hoang et al. 2017) using 1000 bootstrap replicates was used to construct a final consensus tree. Nodes with UFB values of 95 and above were considered significantly supported. A BI analysis was implemented in MrBayes 3.2.3. on XSEDE (Ronquist et al. 2012) using CIPRES (Cyberinfrastructure for Phylogenetic Research; Miller et al. 2010) employing default priors and a GTR+I+Gamma model of evolution for all codon positions. Two simultaneous runs were performed with four chains, three hot and one cold. The simulation ran for $10,000,000$ generations, was sampled every 1000 generations using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), and the first $25 \%$ of each run were discarded as burn-in. Stationarity from each run was checked in Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014) to ensure effective sample sizes (ESS) were above 200 for all parameters. Nodes with Bayesian posterior probabilities (BI) of 0.95 and above were considered well-supported (Huelsenbeck et al. 2001; Wilcox et al. 2002). After removing all species except those in the $P$. lionotum group, MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016) was used to calculate uncorrected pairwise sequence divergences among the individuals.

An ultrametric tree generated in BEAST v2.4.6 (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Sampling Trees; Drummond et al. 2012) was used to perform the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent (GMYC) approach to species delimitation (Pons et al. 2006), thus providing an additional framework to complement the empirically based thresholds of the BI and ML analyses. The BEAST analysis was implemented in BEAUti version v2.4.7 (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Utility) and run with BEAST v2.4.6 on CIPRES employing a lognormal relaxed clock with unlinked substitution and clock models and an HKY substitution model selected for each codon position. MCMC chains were run using a coalescent exponential population prior for $100,000,000$ million generations and logged every 10,000 generations. The BEAST log file was visualized and checked in Tracer v1.6.0 (Rambaut et al. 2014) to ensure ESS values were above 200 for all parameters. A maximum clade credibility tree using mean heights at the nodes was generated using TreeAnnotator v.1.8.0 (Rambaut \& Drummond 2013) with a burnin of 1000 trees (10\%).

The GMYC approach is a method for delimiting species from single-locus, time-calibrated ultrametric gene trees by detecting genetic clustering beyond the expected levels of a null hypothesis which infers that all individuals of a population form a genetically, interacting nexus. In clades where effective population sizes are not necessarily
low and divergence times among the populations are not high, the multi-threshold version of the model (such as that used herein) out performs the single-threshold version (Fujisawa \& Barraclough 2013). The GMYC relies on the prediction that independent evolution leads to the appearance of distinct genetic clusters, separated by relatively longer internal branches (Barraclough et al. 2003; Acinas et al. 2004). Such groups therefore, diverge into discrete units of morphological and genetic variation that are recovered with surveys of higher clades. The analysis was run on a web server at http://species.h-its.org/gmyc/ on 26 December 2018.

Morphological data and analyses. Mensural data were taken on the right side of the body when possible to the nearest 0.1 mm using dial calipers under a Leica Wild M 10 stereo dissecting microscope following character definitions modified from Brown et al. (1997), Brown (1999), and Grismer et al. (2018a). Characters examined were $\mathrm{SVL}=$ snout-vent length, from the tip of snout to the vent; $\mathrm{HD}=$ head depth, the maximum thickness of the head posterior to the eyes; $\mathrm{HL}=$ head length, from the posterior margin of the retroarticular process to the tip of the snout; HW = head width, across the angle of the jaws; ED = eyeball diameter, the greatest horizontal diameter of the eyeball; $\mathrm{SNL}=$ snout length, from the anterior margin of the orbit on the prefrontal bone to the tip of the snout; $\mathrm{TD}=$ tympanum diameter, the greatest horizontal distance across the auricular opening; $\mathrm{IN}=$ internarial distance, measured between the nares across the rostrum; $I O=$ interorbital distance, from between the anterior edges of the prefrontal bones anterior to the eyeballs; $\mathrm{AXG}=$ axilla-groin length, from the posterior margin of the forelimb insertion to the anterior margin of the hind limb insertion; $\mathrm{FL}=$ forearm length, from the posterior margin of the elbow while flexed $90^{\circ}$ to the dorsal inflection of the wrist while flexed $90^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{TBL}=$ tibia length, from the posterior surface of the knee while flexed $90^{\circ}$ to the dorsal inflection of the ankle while flexed $90^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{TL}=$ tail length, from the vent to the tip of the tail; and TW = tail width, distance across the body of the tail (caudal lobes not included) at the insertion point of the postfemoral flaps.

Meristic characters following Brown et al. (1997), Brown (1999), and Grismer et al. (2018a) and included the number of supralabials (SU) counted from the rostral scale to below the middle of the eyeball. Scales posterior to this point transition smoothly into the granular scales at the commissure of the jaw and determining where the enlarged supralabials stop and the granular scales start is too subjective. Therefore, supralabials posterior to the middle of the eyeball were not counted. Infralabials (IL) counted from the mental scale to their termination at the commissure of the jaw (they do not imperceptively transition into granular scales); midbody dorsal scales (MB), the granular scales counted midway between the limb insertions transversely across the body from the base of one patagium to the other; enlarged ventral scales (VS) counted across the belly from the medial termination points of the granular scales on the lower portion of the flanks where they transition into the larger, flat ventral scales; number of enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales (PP) in males; enlarged precloacal scales (PS) in both sexes counted as the enlarged, flat scales that begin in the proximal region of the thighs and extend uninterrupted across the precloacal region; number of enlarged post-precloacal scales (PPS) counted from the middle of the enlarged precloacal scale row to the edge of the granular scales anterior to the vent; transversely expanded subdigital lamellae on fingers and toes I-V were counted only as those scales that extend completely across the width of the digit regardless if there are enlarged scales proximal to these or not. Enlarged subdigital scales extend onto the palmar and plantar surfaces and transition into the scales of those surfaces, making it too subjective to determine where the counting should begin or end. As such, these counts have varied widely among different authors. Scale counts from digits IV on the foot (TL4) were used in the statistical analyses. The estimated number of scales across the widest portion of terminal caudal flap (CF). This count was imprecise as it was often difficult to tell where the beginning of the flap was and the flap margins were weakly crenulated in some populations and smooth in others. These counts were considered estimates and not used in the statistical analyses or diagnoses.

Other characters examined were the number of dark, sinuous, bands between limb insertions; the contact or lack thereof between the supranasal scales; presence or absence of an infra-auricular flap; the presence or absence of a supra-auricular lobe as opposed to only a weak ridge or nothing at all; presence or absence of dorsal and caudal tubercles; presence or absence of an emargination (i.e., notch sec. Brown, [1999]) between the distal portion of the leading edge of the pre-antebrachial flap and the medial margin of digit I where the flap inserts on the digit as opposed to the pre-antebrachial flap inserting on the digit with no emargination; the presence or absence of prominently raised ridges on the ventral surface of the patagia extending from the body to the outer edge; the presence or absence of enlarged, dorsal, transverse caudal scales forming intermittent whorls; the presence of posteriorly directed (i.e., angling) caudal lobes and whether or not they fuse to form a short, narrow, terminal flap; whether or not the caudal lobes decrease in size posteriorly; edges of caudal flap being smooth or crenulated; presence or absence
of a thick, dark, postorbital stripe; presence or absence of large, irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings; and the presence or absence of distinct black and white subcaudal bands in adults.

Nineteen of the 35 preserved specimens of Ptychozoon lionotum s.l. used in this study were not represented in the molecular phylogenetic analyses. An a priori decision as to which mitochondrial lineage to group them with prior to additional downstream analyses, was based on their geographic proximity to that lineage. Following this, all individuals ( $\mathrm{n}=44$ ) were then subjected to a Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) using the MASS Package in R (Ripley et al. 2018) based on seven meristic (SU, IL, MB, VS, PS, PPS, TL4) and five scaled (see below) mensural characters (HL, HW, HD, SNL, AXG) to assess the grouping each individual with a particular mitochondrial lineage based on geography. DFA uses linear combinations of untransformed data to characterize and separate predefined groups and explicitly attempts to model the difference between them. The predict() command was used to calculate the posterior probability for lineage membership of each individual. In all but three cases (see below), lineage membership based on geography was correct. For the DFA to generate robust posterior probabilities, however, the sample size (observations) must be greater than the number of characters (variables) and the data should be normally distributed (Chiari \& Claude 2012). The latter condition was not met for all characters, however, DFA is less sensitive to non-normally distributed characters than other discriminant analyses. Nonetheless, a second discriminant analysis was performed using the factor loadings from the principal component analysis (see below) as a cross-validation for each individual's lineage membership.

Following Levene's tests for homogeneity of variances (necessary for an analysis of variance [ANOVA] using unequal sample sizes), mitochondrial lineages (i.e., populations) delimited in the phylogeny and the DFA were subjected to ANOVA analyses to ascertain if statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ) mean differences among the meristic and mensural characters existed. ANOVAs having a $p$-value less than 0.05 , indicating that statistical differences existed within the data set, were subjected to a Tukey HSD test to ascertain which character means among which lineage pairs differed significantly.

Characters bearing significantly different means among lineage pairs where subjected to a principal component analysis (PCA) in order to 1) visualize how overall character variation separated lineages from one another in multidimensional space, 2) ascertain which characters contributed most to the overall variation, and 3) determine if their morphospatial relationships coincided with the putative species boundaries delimited by the phylogenetic and GMYC analysis and subsequently defined by the univariate and discrete (presence or absence) character analyses. Using the ADEGENET package in R (Jombart et al. 2010), PCA and discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) were used on a concatenated meristic and mensural data set. PCA, implemented by the prcomp() command in R , is an indiscriminate analysis that uses the overall character variation among all individuals (i.e. data points) while treating each individual independently (i.e. not coercing individuals into pre-defined groups) and plots the data points on a multidimensional graph. Mensural characters were scaled to either SVL or head length HL in order to remove any potential effects of allometry. To search for differences in HL and AXG, both were scaled independently for each lineage to SVL using the following equation: Xadj=X- $\beta$ (SVL-SVLmean), where $\mathrm{Xadj}=$ adjusted value; $X=$ measured value; $\beta=$ unstandardized regression coefficient for each OTU; and SVLmean=overall average SVL of all OTU's (Thorpe, 1975, 1983; Turan, 1999; Lleonart et al. 2000). To assess differences in head shape, HW, HD, and SNL were scaled separately to HL for each population using the equation: $\mathrm{Xadj}=\mathrm{X}-\beta$ (HLHLmean), where $\mathrm{Xadj}=$ adjusted value; $\mathrm{X}=$ measured value; $\beta=$ unstandardized regression coefficient for each OTU; and HLmean=overall average HL of all OTU's. All characters used in the PCA were log-transformed and scaled to their standard deviation prior to analysis in order to normalize their distribution so as to ensure characters with very large and very low values did not over-leverage the results owing to intervariable nonlinearity and to insure the data were analyzed on the basis of correlation not covariance. The number of pore-bearing precloacal scales in males was not included in the PCA because sampling across all individuals was incomplete.

In order to determine the number of interpretable PCs (i.e., those that capture the most amount of variation in the data set with the least amount of noise) to retain for downstream analyses prior to PC degeneration (i.e., subsequent PCs represent negligible structure in the data), a modified version of the broken stick model (Cangelosi \& Goriely 2007) which uses a stopping rule (Jackson 1993), was implemented with the VEGAN package in R (Oksanen et al. 2018) using the bs() command. This analysis produces overlapping curves of eigenvalues and broken stick values and proposes that the number of retained PCs should have eigenvalues higher than their corresponding random broken stick components. For this analysis, PC1 and PC2 which accounted for $54 \%$ of the variation in the data set, were retained. Factor loadings from PC1 and PC2 for each population were subjected to an ANOVA in
order to determine which factor loading means between which species pairs differed significantly $(P<0.05)$. This method evaluates whether or not each species occupies a statistically different position along PC1 and/or PC2 from other populations, thus enabling a more quantifiable interpretation of the PCA.

Based on the PCA, a DAPC was performed which places the individuals of each predefined population (inferred from the phylogeny and DFA) into separate clusters (i.e. plots of points) bearing the smallest within-group variance that produce linear combinations of centroids having the greatest between-group variance (i.e. linear distance; Jombart et al. 2010). DAPC relies on log transformed and scaled data from the PCA as a prior step to ensure that the variables analyzed are not correlated and number fewer than the sample size. Principal component factor scores generated by the DAPC are plotted in a scree plot and the PCs contributing to at least $90 \%$ of the cumulative variation in the data set prior to asymptote are retained for analysis. Retaining too many variables, forces false structure to appear in the data and retaining too few, runs the risk of missing true structure (Cangelosi \& Goriely 2007). For this analysis, the first five of the nine PCs were retained which accounted for $97.8 \%$ of the variation. To cross-validate the probability of lineage membership for each specimen calculated in the DFA, membership probabilities were calculated based on posteriors retained from the discriminant functions of the DAPC (Jombart et al. 2010) using the round(head) command in R v 3.2.1 (R Core Team, 2015).

Museum abbreviations follow Sabaj (2016) except for LSUHC referring to the La Sierra University Herpetological Collection, La Sierra University, Riverside, California, 92505, USA; LSUDPC for La Sierra University Digital Photograph Collection, La Sierra University, Riverside, California, 92505, USA; RMB refers to the field numbers of Rafe M. Brown, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Biodiversity Institute, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas USA; JAM refers to the field numbers of Jimmy A. McGuire, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA; and SYS refers to Sun Yat-sen University Museum of Biology, Guangzhou, China.

## Results

Both the ML and BI analyses resulted in the same, generally well-supported topology that recovered four deeply divergent, allopatric, mitochondrial clades within Ptychozoon lionotum s.l. (Figs. 1, 2). The analyses also recovered P. lionotum s.l. as paraphyletic with respect to P. popaense in that P. popaense is the weakly supported (BI 0.90/ UFB 75) sister species of $P$. lionotum populations from Laos, Cambodia, Thailand, and Myanmar to the exclusion of $P$. lionotum from Peninsular Malaysia ( $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov., see description below). The latter is the wellsupported (1.00/100) sister species to all of these (Fig. 2). Individuals from Thailand, Cambodia, and one from Myanmar ( $P$. tokehos sp. nov., see description below) form a well-supported mitochondrial lineage (1.00/100) and range from the Thai-Malay Peninsula in southern Thailand and southern Myanmar, around the Chao Phraya Basin to at least the Cardamom Mountains of southern Cambodia and likely on into southern Vietnam (Figs. 1, 2). This lineage was recovered as the unsupported ( $0.58 / 47$ ) closest relative to the well-supported $(1.00 / 100)$ sister clades from Myanmar and northwestern Laos (P. lionotum s.s., see below) and northeastern Laos (P. kabkaebin sp. nov., see description below; Figs. 1, 2). Ptychozoon lionotum s.s. is represented by four, essentially genetically identical individuals (Fig. 3) that collectively extend $1,100 \mathrm{~km}$ from northwestern Laos through the type locality at Hpa Lon, Yangon Region (see below) to Rakhine State in western Myanmar (Fig. 1) and thus constitute what we hereinafter refer to as P. lionotum.

The GMYC species delimitation analysis recovered the same six ingroup mitochondrial clades of the Ptychozoon lionotum group recovered by the ML and BI analyses (Fig. 2) with a highly significant likelihood ratio of $61.19726\left(p=5.140333^{-16}\right)$ and no intra-clade substructuring. Sukumaran \& Knowles (2017) demonstrated that the multispecies coalescent generally overestimates species diversity by recovering clades rather than species and that additional criteria such as morphology should be used in conjunction with these analyses. Fujisawa \& Barraclough (2013) specifically noted that the GMYC approach should be used in conjunction with additional independent data. We agree with these recommendations and believe the GMYC recovered noteworthy interpopulational genetic structure within the $P$. lionotum group that is corroborated by the statistical morphological and color pattern analyses in that each bears a unique and/or a unique suite of diagnostic characters (see below). Therefore, we hypothesize that these lineages are separate species based on this more integrative approach.

|  | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 | PC9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard deviation | 1.663802589 | 1.454129103 | 1.07298737 | 0.928870234 | 0.816343589 | 0.740175391 | 0.590388059 | 0.563183353 | 0.472395677 |
| Proportion of Variance | 0.30758 | 0.23494 | 0.12792 | 0.09587 | 0.07405 | 0.06087 | 0.03873 | 0.03524 | 0.0248 |
| Cumulative Proportion | 0.30758 | 0.54253 | 0.67045 | 0.76631 | 0.84036 | 0.90123 | 0.93996 | 0.9752 | 1 |
| Eigenvalue | 2.768239054 | 2.114491449 | 1.151301895 | 0.862799911 | 0.666416855 | 0.54785961 | 0.34855806 | 0.317175489 | 0.223157676 |
| SU | -0.319331301 | 0.444405009 | -0.0480494 | 0.345637917 | -0.310315707 | 0.009034338 | -0.327648213 | -0.310303738 | 0.527956604 |
| IL | -0.413379965 | 0.352375638 | 0.124880014 | 0.087344528 | -0.409649551 | 0.137523991 | 0.045995682 | 0.271725224 | -0.647339576 |
| MB | -0.140845257 | 0.354094489 | 0.599037655 | 0.072387484 | 0.545507369 | -0.031260344 | 0.298786296 | -0.315936804 | -0.055216236 |
| VS | -0.353963495 | -0.199543316 | 0.448929305 | -0.437802649 | -0.278811548 | -0.285171306 | 0.157126098 | 0.31016697 | 0.402160312 |
| TL4 | -0.431659792 | -0.20250397 | 0.037110686 | -0.238301191 | 0.390210095 | 0.499266263 | -0.553180821 | 0.08327423 | -0.004792539 |
| HW | -0.159449052 | -0.553444402 | 0.170210511 | 0.06749668 | -0.338465994 | 0.161249704 | 0.117013234 | -0.668980326 | -0.181550006 |
| HD | 0.249036969 | -0.255990624 | 0.46431024 | 0.625739182 | -0.069224781 | 0.291423725 | -0.067462303 | 0.389190185 | 0.139913706 |
| AXG | -0.428859722 | -0.120386521 | -0.409708308 | 0.239357646 | 0.166091105 | 0.273095301 | 0.63292219 | 0.153459636 | 0.22388789 |
| SNL | -0.352594291 | -0.292920599 | -0.088988128 | 0.407196993 | 0.247741328 | -0.681123007 | -0.227434401 | 0.066100269 | -0.186404245 |



FIGURE 2. Maximum likelihood consensus tree topology depicting the phylogenetic relationships of species within the genus Ptychozoon. Numbers in parentheses refer to numbered localities in Figure 1.

The DFA calculated the posterior probabilities for species membership and placed 41 of the 44 individuals into their a priori geographically designated species. The posterior probabilities of three individuals did not corroborate their a priori species designation. LSUHC 11234 from Lata Belatan, Peninsular Malaysia had a posterior probability of $77.0 \%$, placing it within $P$. tokehos sp. nov. instead of $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. (22.8\%). However, in the molecular phylogeny, LSUHC 11234 is deeply embedded among other individuals of $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov., including two specimens (LSUHC 10978 and 12236) from Lata Belatan that were collected in the same campground and correctly placed in P. cicakterbang sp. nov. by the DFA. Additionally, one other specimen (LSUHC 11235) from Lata Belatan (not in the tree) was also correctly assigned. We regard species membership based on the molecular phylogeny to be more robust than that of the DFA and consider LSUHC 11234 to be P. cicakterbang sp. nov. Another specimen (FMNH 261853) from the Cardamom Mountains, Cambodia was assigned to P. lionotum (49.1\%) by the DFA as opposed to $P$. tokehos sp. nov. (17.6\%). We consider this to also be erroneous as other specimens from the Cardamom Mountains in the phylogeny (CBC 03162, and NCSM 98986-87) and others not in the phylogeny (FMNH 261852-54 and CBC 01217) were all correctly placed in P. tokehos sp. nov. The DFA placed FMNH 177548 from Chiang Mai, Thailand in $P$. tokehos sp. nov. with a $76.3 \%$ probability (Fig. 4). However, the closest population of $P$. tokehos sp. nov. represented in the phylogeny lies approximately 760 km to the south in the Cardamom Mountains (Fig. 1). Geographically intervening specimens from Sakaerat (FMNH 18123-24, 18128-29, 181844) that were not represented in the tree were also placed in P. tokehos sp. nov. Based on geographic proximity, FMNH 177548 was placed a priori in P. lionotum but the probability of that being correct based on the DFA was very low ( $6.64^{-06 \%}$ ). A cross-validation of species membership using posteriors retained from the discriminant
functions of the DAPC corroborated the DFA and placed FMNH 177548 in P. tokehos sp. nov. with a $78.2 \%$ probability. Unfortunately, FMNH 177548 has a regenerated tail precluding the evaluation of discrete diagnostic caudal characters that could potentially differentiate $P$. tokehos sp. nov. from P. lionotum. In the absence of competing data other than geography, we provisionally consider FMNH 177548 as part of $P$. tokehos sp. nov. (Fig. 4). Unequivocal resolution of the specific identity and phylogenetic placement of this population must await the acquisition of additional specimens and molecular data.


FIGURE 3. Uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence between species of rhe Ptychzoon lionotum group (boxplots) and between individuals of the $P$. lionotum group (matrix). Colored squares represent the $50 \%$ quartile. Light-blue circles are means.

The species lineages delimited by the phylogenetic analyses and the DFA are corroborated by additional analyses. The ANOVA identified nine of the 12 selected meristic and mensural characters as bearing significantly different mean values between one or more pairs of species (Fig. 5) and further indicated that each species is significantly different from every other species in at least one character mean. All species except $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. and $P$. tokehos sp. nov. were well-separated in the PCA along the combined ordination of the first three PCs which accounted for $67.0 \%$ (Table 3) of the variation in the data (Fig. 6). PC1 accounted for $30.7 \%$ of the variation and loaded most heavily for axilla-groin length (AXG) and fourth toe lamellae (TL4). PC2 accounted for $23.5 \%$ of
the variation and loaded most heavily for head width (HW). Lastly, PC3 accounted for an additional $12.8 \%$ of the variation and loaded most heavily for the number of midbody scales (MB). ANOVA analyses of the factor loadings of PC1 among the four species indicated that the mean values between the species pairs of P. cicakterbang $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. and P. kabkaebin sp. nov. and P. cicakterbang sp. nov. and $P$. tokehos sp. nov. were highly significantly different ( $p=0.0003$ and $p=1.26^{-07}$, respectively), indicating that $P$. cicakterbang $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. occupies a significantly different position along PC1 than the either of the other two species (Fig. 6). ANOVA analyses of the factor loadings of PC2 means were significantly different between the species pairs of $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and $P$. lionotum $\left(p=2.65^{-06}\right)$, $P$. tokehos sp. nov. and $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. $(p=0.49)$, and $P$. tokehos sp. nov. and $P$. lionotum ( $p=1.71^{-06}$ ), indicating that $P$. tokehos sp. nov. occupies a significantly different position than $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. and $P$. lionotum along PC2 and $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. differs significantly in position from $P$. lionotum along PC2 (Fig. 6). The DAPC revealed clear separation among the four species with complete separation of the $95 \%$ confidence ellipses among all species (Fig. 6).


FIGURE 4. Discriminant function analysis showing the separation of P. lionotum s.s., P. cicakterbang sp. nov., P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and $P$. tokehos sp. nov. and the misplacement of FMNH 177548 as $P$. lionotum.












FIGURE 5. Boxplot analyses of the characters bearing statistically significant mean values between various combinations of species pairs. The species pairs are listed on the plot. Colored squares represent the $50 \%$ quartile. Orange circles are the means.

We are well aware that mtDNA phylogenies can reveal significant structure in a data set by recovering sequentially nested monophyletic groups even though within that same data set, nuclear genes can indicate significant gene flow among these groups (e.g. Shaw 2002; Fisher-Reid \& Wiens 2011; Toews \& Brelsford 2012), thus precluding their species status. This weakens any hypothesis of specific identity based solely on mtDNA data. Given the phylogenetic relationships of the four allopatric species that bear deep genetic divergences among them (4.1-14.5\%; Fig. 3), the statistically significant differences between the factor loading means of PC 1 and PC 2 , the statistically significant mean differences of five meristic and three scaled mensural characters (Fig. 5), and discrete differences in morphological and color pattern characters (Table 4) in varying species combinations, we regard their separate specific identities as robust, testable hypotheses.

## Taxonomy

## Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov.

## Malaysia Parachute Gecko

Figs. 7, 8
Ptychozoon homalocephalum Cantor, 1847:626 (in part); Boulenger, 1912:52 (in part)
Ptychozoon kuhli Smith, 1935:119 (in part)
Ptychozoon lionotum Manthey \& Grossmann, 1997:247 (in part); Cox et al., 1998:81 (in part); Das, Dattagupta, \& Gayen, 1998:131 (in part); Chan-ard et al., 1999:132 (in part); Kluge, 2001:25 (in part); Leong et al., 2003:170; Grismer, 2011a:532, 2011b:139; Grismer et al., 2011:78 (in part); Grismer et al., 2018a:203 (in part).
Ptychozoon lionatum (sic.) Grismer, Youmans, Wood, \& Grismer 2006:161;Grismer \& Pan, 2008:278.


FIGURE 6. Multivariate analyses of P. lionotum, P. cicakterbang sp. nov., P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and P. tokehos sp. nov. A. PCA ordination along PC 1 and PC2. B. DAPC showing complete separation of the $95 \%$ confidence ellipses. C. PCA ordination along PC1, PC2, and PC3.

Holotype. LSUHC 10648 adult female collected by Evan S. H. Quah, L. Lee Grismer, Anuar Shahrul, and Jesse L. Grismer on 26 June 2012 on the road to the top of Gunung Jerai, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia $\left(5.8099^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$, $100.4367^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 744 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level).

Paratypes. LSUHC 5597 adult male collected by Jesse L. Grismer, L. Lee Grismer, and Perry L. Wood Jr. on 23 July 2003 from Pulau Sibui, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia ( $2.217539^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 104.069966^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 16 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level). LSUHC 5783 bears the same data as LUSHC 5597 except it was collected on 1 September 2003. LSUHC 8709 adult male collected by L. Lee Grismer, Perry L. Wood Jr., and Jesse L. Grismer from Pulau Perhentian Besar,

Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia ( $5.901514^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 102.746672^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 123 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level). LSUHC 9059 adult female bearing the same collection data as LSUHC 8709 except being collected on 18 October 2007. LSUHC 9447 adult female collected by Perry L. Wood Jr., Jesse L. Grismer, and L. Lee Grismer from Gunung Machinchang, Pulau Langkawi, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia ( $6.386111^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.661111^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 634 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level). LSUHC 10978 adult female collected by Evan S. H. Quah and L. Lee Grismer on 7 September 2013 from Hutan Lipur Lata Belatan, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia ( $5.579567^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 102.589028^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 527 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level). LSUHC 11058 adult female collected by L. Lee Grismer and Shahrul Anuar on 26 June 2013 from Kem Baha, Gunung Stone, Kelantan, Peninsular Malaysia ( $5.340092^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 101.966917^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 511 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level). LSUHC 11418 adult male collected by Evan S. H. Quah and L. Lee Grismer on 26 June 2013 from Pulau Bidong, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia (5.620990N, $103.058062^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 25 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level).


FIGURE 7. Holotype of Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. LSUHC 10648 from Gunung Jerai, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia. Photograph by L. L. Grismer.

Additional specimens examined. LSUHC 5539 adult female collected by Jesse L. Grismer, L. Lee Grismer, Perry L. Wood Jr. on 23 July 2003 from Pulau Sibu, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia ( $2.21754^{\circ}$ N, $104.06997^{\circ}$ E, 16 m above sea level); LSUHC 6437 adult female collected by Jesse L. Grismer and Perry L. Wood Jr. on 2 July 2004 from the Tekek-Juara Trail, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Peninsular Malaysia $\left(2.82070^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 104.168954^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 110 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ above sea level); LSUHC 9409 adult female collected by Evan S. H. Quah and L. Lee Grismer at the Reng Reef field station, Pulau Redang, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia ( $5.81212^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 103.000749^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 49 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level); LSUHC 9891 adult female collected by Perry L. Wood Jr., L. Lee Grismer, and Jesse L. Grismer on 6 September 2007 from Lata Tembaka, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia $\left(5.554063^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 102.431311^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 690 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ above sea level); LSUHC 11439 adult female collected by Evan S. H. Quah and L. Lee Grismer on 26 June 2013 from Pulau Bidong, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia ( $5.620990^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 103.058062^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 25 m above sea level). LSUHC 11234-36 collected by Evan S. H. Quah and L. Lee Grismer on 3 July 2013 from Hutan Lipur Lata Belatan, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia $\left(5.57957^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 102.58902^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 527 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ above sea level).

Diagnosis. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. differs from all other species of Ptychozoon by having the following unique combination of characters: a maximum SVL of 93.4 mm ; supranasals not in contact; 9-13 supralabials; 11-15 infralabials; infra-auricular cutaneous flap present; prominent supra-auricular lobe; no dorsal or caudal tu-
bercles; imbricate parachute support scales on dorsal surface of patagia; raised, prominent ridges on ventral surface of patagia; 79-105 midbody dorsal scales; 30-48 ventral scales; pre-antebrachial flap not inserting on the full length of digit $V$ but leaving a space between them; no enlarged femoral scales; 17-25 pore-bearing precloacal scales in males; 18-28 enlarged precloacal scales; 5-8 rows of enlarged post-precloacal scales; 14-17 transverse subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe; 25-34 scales across the widest portion of the caudal flap; enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming intermittent whorls; distal caudal lobes fusing to form a narrow caudal flap; edges of caudal flap weakly crenulated; caudal lobes angled posteriorly; caudal lobes decrease posteriorly in size; no thick, dark, postorbital stripe; four dark body bands between limb insertions; no irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings; and subcaudal region banded in adults (Tables 4, 5).


FIGURE 8. Color pattern variation in Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. A. LSUHC 9059 from Pulau Perhentian Besar, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia. B. LSUHC 8709 from Pulau Perhentian Besar, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia. C. LSUDPC 3207 from Butterfly Park at Cameron Highlands, Pahang, Peninsular Malaysia. D. LSUHC 5539 from Pulau Sibu, Johor, Peninsular Malaysia.

TABLE 4. Summary statistics and diagnostic characters among Ptychozoon lionotum, P. cicakterbang sp. nov., P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and P. tokehos sp. nov. $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation and $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size.

TABLE 5．Character states differentiating species of Ptychozoon from one another．Data in part come from Annandale（1905），Brown et al．（1997），Brown（1999），Sumontha et al．（2012）and Wang et al．（2016）．／＝data unavailable or the character does not exist．

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{む} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Xi} \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { § } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ミ. } \\ & \text { U. } \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \text { む } \end{aligned}$ | 柴 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ミu } \\ & \text { 亿 } \\ & \text { İ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { む̃ } \\ & \text { है } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| max SVL（mm） | 93.4 | 95.4 | 97.5 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 73.9 | 98.8 | 107.8 | 95.9 | 100.3 | 64.5 | 71.3 | 86 |
| supranasals in contact | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes，no | yes，no | no | yes | no | yes | yes |
| infra－auricular cutaneous flap | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | absent | present | present |
| prominent infra－auricular cutaneous lobe | present | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| disposition of dorsal tubercles | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent or only on oc－ ciput | absent | $0-10$ irregu－ <br> lar rows | $2-6$ straight rows | absent | absent | 6-10 rows, scattered | 0 or 1 mid－ dorsal row | absent |
| dorsal tubercle shape | absent | absent | absent | absent | flat when present | absent | flat to convex | convex or spiculate | absent | absent | spiculate to highly spinose | flat | absent |
| enlarged，dorsal parachute support scales | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | present | absent | present | present |
| space between pre－antebrachi－ al flap and digit I | present | present | present | present | absent | absent | absent | absent | present | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| enlarged femoral scales | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | 8－11 | 12－19 | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| enlarged pore－bearing precloa－ cals in males | 17－25 | 21 | 20－24 | 21 | 17 | 10－11 | 8－12 | 14－32 | 20－22 | 14－22 | 12－18 | 19－21 | 14－19 |
| fourth toe lamellae | 14－17 | 13－17 | 13－18 | 13 or 14 | 16 or 17 | 11－13 | 9－14 | 12－16 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { or } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 13－21 | 11－13 | 12－14 | 15－17 |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap | 25－34 | 27－30 | 28－34 | 28 or 29 | 15 | 9－21 | 14－18 | 42－51 | 29－34 | 20－29 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
| distal lobes fused into narrow or wide caudal flap | narrow | narrow | narrow | narrow | narrow | narrow | narrow | wide | narrow | wide | narrow | narrow | narrow |
| caudal tubercles continue to tail terminus | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | variably | yes | absent | absent | absent | yes | absent |
| caudal lobes angled poste－ riorly | slight | slight | slight | slight | extreme | extreme | extreme | slight | slight | slight | extreme | slight | slight |
| tail and lobes bearing distal reduction | minimal | minimal | mini－ <br> mal | minimal | extensive | extensive | extensive | minimal | mini－ <br> mal | minimal | extensive | minimal | extensive |
| thick postorbital stripe | absent | present | vari－ <br> able | present | present | present | present | present | variable | absent | absent | present | absent |
| bands between limb insertions | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| irregularly shaped，white vertebral markings | absent | variable | vari－ <br> able | present | absent | absent | absent | absent | variable | present | present | present | absent |

Description of holotype. Adult female SVL 86.0 mm ; head moderate (HL/SVL 0.27), wide (HW/HL 0.76), depressed (HD/HL 0.40), distinct from neck; snout rounded at tip in dorsal profile; prefrontal region concave; lores rounded; rostral scale large, rectangular, bearing an inverted Y-shaped, dorsomedial groove, in contact posteriorly with two supranasals, one postnasal, dorsolaterally with nostrils, and laterally with first supralabials; supralabials (10R,L) to mid-orbital position; infralabials (12R,14L); nostrils elliptical with long axes oriented dorsoventrally, occupying anterior portion of nasal scale, bordered anteriorly by rostral, dorsally by supranasal, posteriorly by four postnasals of varying sizes (upper largest), and ventrally by first and second supralabials; scales on rostrum granular slightly larger than granular scales on top of head and occiput; eyes large (ED/HL 0.22), less than snout length; pupil vertically elliptical, crenelated; supraciliaries elongate, posteriormost weakly pointed; auricular opening rounded, bearing a prominent supra-auricular lobe; tympanum deeply sunk; infra-auricular flap broad, rounded, extending from below corner of mouth to lateral margin of neck midway between posterior margin of ear opening and forelimb insertion, measuring 3.9 mm at its widest point; dorsal scales of infra-auricular flap large, subimbricate proximally, small juxtaposed distally, ventral flap scales minute and granular; mental triangular, slightly wider than deep, bordered laterally by first infralabials and posteriorly by paired, rectangular postmentals contacting medially for $80 \%$ of their length; one row of enlarged sublabials bordering infralabials, anteriormost largest; gular scales small, rounded, grading into larger, imbricating throat and subimbricate pectoral and ventral scales.

Body dorsoventrally depressed, relatively stout (AXG/SVL 0.46 ); patagium 9.4 mm at midpoint of body, bearing enlarged subimbricate, rectangular scales dorsally, minute juxtaposed, subrectangular scales ventrally, ventral surface bearing raised ridges of granular scales extending from body to edge of flap; 86 minute, flat, round, juxtaposed midbody dorsal scales, largest mid-dorsally; four (L) and one (R) large, flat, isolated, dorsal scale(s) immediately anterior to the hind limb insertions; 42 transverse rows of large, smooth, flat, subimbricate ventrals, ventrals much larger than dorsals, decreasing in size laterally into granular scales at base of flap; 23 enlarged, precloacal scales; five rows of enlarged, post-precloacal scales; and scales immediately anterior to vent granular.

Limbs short, robust (FL/SVL 0.11; TBL/SVL 0.15); dorsal scales of forelimbs, flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; ventral forelimb scales subimbricate; anterior and posterior margins of forelimbs, and posterior margins of hind limbs bearing wide, cutaneous flaps; that of anterior margin of forearm (i.e. pre-antebrachial flap) emarginated distally and terminating low on base of digit $I$; scales of forelimb flap large, elongate, subimbricate; those of hind limb flap much smaller, rounded, subimbricate; palmar scales smooth, rounded; digits fully webbed, relatively short, dorsoventrally compressed; undivided transverse subdigital lamellae number 12 (I), 15 (II), 18 (III), 17 (IV), 18 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads; claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale; dorsal scales of hind limbs, flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; ventral scales of hind limbs flat, subimbricate, smaller than ventral scales of belly; flat scales of anterior margin of thigh subimbricate; plantar scales smooth, subimbricate; digits fully webbed; transverse subdigital lamellae number 10 (I), 13 (II), 17 (III), 17 (IV), 14 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads; and claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale.

Tail original, flattened, shorter than SVL (TL/SVL 0.88); two median rows of transversely widened, smooth subcaudals anteriorly becoming less regular and broken up posteriorly; postcloacal scales large, flat, imbricate; dorsal caudals flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; 6-10 smaller scales between much larger, transversely aligned scales composing whorls; tail width and caudal lobes decrease posteriorly; 23 caudal lobes on each side slightly angled posteriorly; and tail terminates in a short, narrow, flap ( 7.3 mm ) bearing weakly crenulated edges.

Light phase coloration and pattern in life (Fig. 7). Dorsal ground color of head, body, and tail beige; top of head essentially unicolor; labial scales lighter than body, delimited by thin, dark lines at their junctures; infra-auricular flap same lighter color as labial scales; four faint, thin, sinuous dorsal bands between limb insertions transitioning into approximately six darker caudal bands; terminal caudal band on caudal flap nearly black, edged posteriorly by narrow white band; subcaudal region mottled, weakly banded; iris deep-red; gular region, throat, ventral surfaces of forelimbs, pectoral region, and anterior portion of belly dull-white with stippled scales; and posterior margin of belly dull-white, immaculate; stippling on ventral surfaces of hind limbs dense.

Variation (Fig. 8). Variation in coloration and pattern is extensive due to this species' having very different dark and light phases and its ability to substrate-match (see Grismer 2011a). Color pattern variation in the paratypes described here is based on preserved material. LSUHC 9059, 9447, and 11418 have more boldly marked dorsal bands. Dorsal caudal bands in LSUHC 11418 are much more prominent than those of the holotype. Dark, subcaudal banding in LSUHC 9447 and 11418 is far more distinct than that of the holotype and in LSUHC 9059 and 10991 the
TABLE 6. Meristic, mensural (in mm), and diagnostic characters of Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. Gn = Gunung (mountain) and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Pulau}$ (island). See Materials and methods for character abbreviations. Numbers in parentheses refer to numbered localities in Figure $1 . /=$ data unobtainable; $r=$ tail partially or completely regenerated.

|  | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC | LSUHC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10648 (12) | 5783 (2) | 5597 (2) | 9059 (8) | 9447 (13) | 8709 (8) | 11418 (6) | 11058 (11) | 10978 (5) |
|  | Gn. Jerai | P. Sibu | P. Sibu | P. Perhentian | P. Langkawi | P. Perhentian | P. Bidong | Gn. Stong | Lata Belatan |
|  | holotype | paratype | paratype | Besar paratype | paratype | Besar paratype | paratype | paratype | paratype |
| sex | f | m | m | f | f | m | m | f | f |
| supralabials to middle of eyeball (SU) | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| infralabials (IL) | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 |
| supra-auricular lobe | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| midbody dorsal scales (MB) | 86 | 84 | 85 | 98 | 91 | 100 | 95 | 86 | 79 |
| midbody ventral scales (VS) | 42 | 36 | 34 | 44 | 32 | 32 | 44 | 36 | 30 |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales in males (PP) | 1 | 17 | 21 | 1 | / | 21 | 25 | 1 | 1 |
| males (PP) <br> enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | 23 | 18 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 24 | 20 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows (PPS) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| (TL4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| whorls scales across widest portion of caudal flap | 34 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 25 | 29 | 1 | 27 |
| (CF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| edges of caudal flap smooth or crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated |
| thick postorbital stripe | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |  | no |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| SVL | 86.0 | 81.9 | 74.7 | 84.6 | 82.0 | 80.1 | 84.2 | 93.4 | 91.3 |
| TL | 76.4 | 69.8 r | 77.2 | 88.3 | 86.7 | 80.5 | 79.1 | 65.6r | 81.5 |
| TW | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 7.4 |
| HL | 23.5 | 22.7 | 21.9 | 24 | 24.0 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 25 | 23.2 |
| HW | 17.8 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 17,4 | 19.4 | 16.3 |
| HD | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
| SNL | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 10.7 |
| ED | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| TD | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| IN | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| IO | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| AXG | 39.7 | 41.2 | 40.5 | 43.9 | 42.7 | 38 | 40.1 | 44.4 | 45.4 |
| FL | 9.6 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 11.4 | 11.0 |
| TBL | 13.2 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 16.0 |

TABLE 6. (Continued)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 11439 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 9891 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 11234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 11236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 11235 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 5539 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 9409 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSUHC } \\ & 6437 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P. Bidong <br> (6) non-type | Lata Tembaka <br> (10) <br> non-type | Lata Belatan <br> (5) <br> non-type | Lata Belatan <br> (5) <br> non-type | Lata Belatan <br> (5) <br> non-type | P. Sibu <br> (2) non-type | P. Redang <br> (7) non-type | P. Tioman (3) non-type |
| sex | f | f | f | f | f | f | f | f |
| supralabials to middle of eyeball (SU) | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 10 |
| infralabials (IL) | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 12 |
| supra-auricular lobe | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| midbody transverse dorsal scales (MB) | 94 | 80 | 91 | 105 | 95 | 81 | 96 | 84 |
| midbody transvers ventral scales (VS) | 48 | 43 | 34 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 35 | 39 |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales in males | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (PP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | 28 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 23 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows (PPS) | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae (TL4) | 17 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming whorls | yes | 1 | 1 | yes | 1 | yes | yes | yes |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap (CF) | 33 | 1 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 25 | 29 | 26 |
| edges of caudal flap smooth or crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenulated | crenu- <br> lated | crenulated | crenulated |
| thick postorbital stripe | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| SVL | 92.6 | 90.0 | 89.4 | 86.5 | 87.9 | 85.3 | 87.4 | 70.6 |
| TL | 80.2 | 76.1R | 58.6 | 76.8 | 1 | 82.8 | 86.8 | 71.3 |
| TW | 8.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.3 |
| HL | 26.1 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 21.2 | 23.8 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 19.9 |
| HW | 20.3 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 18 | 17 | 17.7 | 14.3 |
| HD | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 6.8 |
| SNL | 11.7 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 9.1 |
| ED | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| TD | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| IN | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| IO | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| AXG | 43.2 | 43.3 | 43.8 | 41.5 | 41.8 | 43.4 | 42.0 | 34.5 |
| FL | 11.4 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 8.5 |
| TBL | 14.8 | 14.6 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 12.6 |

banding is more defined than that of the holotype but not nearly as much as the former three specimens. The belly of LSUHC 11418 is much more densely stippled than other specimens of the type series. The parachute scales of the body patagia do not imbricate in LSUHC 5539, 5597, 6437, 8709 , and 9059 whereas in the holotype and the other paratypes they are subimbricate. The tails of LSUHC 5783 and 11058 are regenerated and bear no caudal lobes. Males LSUHC 5597, 5783, 8709, and 11418 have 17-25 enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales. Meristic differences amongst the type material and the additional specimens examined are presented in Table 6.

Comparisons (Tables 4, 5; Figs. 3, 5, 6). Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. differs from all other species of Ptychozoon in having a prominent supra-auricular lobe as opposed to a small ridge or no enlargement at all. It differs further from P. intermedium Taylor, 1915, P. kuhli (Stejneger, 1902), and P. trinotaterra in lacking as opposed to having caudal tubercles. From P. intermedium, P. rhacophorus (Boulenger, 1899), P. trinotaterra, and P. kaengkrachanense it differs in having four body bands as opposed to $0-3$. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. differs from P. bannaense Wang, Wang, \& Liu, 2016, P. horsfieldii, P. intermedium, P. kuhli, P. nicobarense, P. rhacophorus, P. trinotaterra, and P. kaengkrachanense in having an emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I as opposed to no emargination. From $P$. popaense it differs by having a maximum SVL of 93.4 mm versus 86.2 $\mathrm{mm}, 14-17$ subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe versus 13 or 14 , the absence versus the presence of a thick, dark postorbital stripe; and the absence versus the presence of large, irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. differs futher from P. lionotum, P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and P. tokehos sp. nov. by having a significantly higher mean number of infralabials and a prominent supra-auricular lobe versus a slightly raised ridge. From C. tokehos sp. nov. it differs further by having a significantly higher mean number of subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe, a higher mean number of ventral scales, and a significantly longer axilla-groin length. From P. lionotum it differs even further by having, as opposed to lacking, prominent ridges on the ventral surface of the patagia and weakly crenulated as opposed to smooth caudal flap edges. From C. kabkaebin sp. nov. and P. lionotum it differs further by having a significantly higher mean number of supralabial scales and midbody scales and caudal whorls composed of enlarged scales. From C. kabkaebin sp. nov. it differs further by lacking, as opposed to having, a thick, dark, postorbital stripe. From C. lionotum it differs further by having a significantly narrower head. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. is well-separated from other species previously recognized as $P$. lionotum in the PCA and DAPC and occupies a significantly different position along PC1 from that of $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. and $P$. tokehos sp. nov. and along PC2, it occupies a significantly different positon from that of $P$. lionotum. From these three species, it is further separated by an uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence of $4.1-14.4 \%$. Combinations of other characters differentiating $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. from other more distantly related species are presented in Table 5.

Distribution (Fig. 1). Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. ranges throughout Peninsular Malaysia and its associated east and west coast islands and most probably extends into extreme southern Thailand. A population has also been reported from Natuna Besar Island, Indonesia that lies between Peninsular Malaysia and Borneo (Leong et al. 2003).

Etymology. The specific epithet cicakterbang is derived from the Malay word for flying lizards. The word "cicak" means lizard and "terbang" means flight. The term is used for both Ptychozoon and Draco.

Natural history. Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. is found on trees of varying sizes in primary and old secondary, lowland dipterocarp forests (Fig. 9) up to approximately 800 meters in elevation (Dring 1979; Grismer 2011a,b; Grismer et al. 2011). On Pulau Sibu, it occurs at sea level on small trees within coastal forest adjacent to mangrove swamps (Grismer 2011a) and can often be found sleeping on the smaller branches of small trees and large shrubs during the day and night less than two meters above the ground (Grismer 2011a; Grismer et al. 2011). When alarmed, it may jump to the ground to escape. On Pulau Lang Tengah, Terengganu, specimens were observed on the ground eating winged termites on a rainy evening during a storm (Evan S. H. Quah; pers. obs.). Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. is adept at substrate matching and can vary considerably in coloration and pattern from one area to the next (Fig. 8).


FIGURE 9. Habitat of Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. A. Forest at the type locality of Gunung Jerai, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia. B. Microhabitat along the Tekek-Juara Trail, Pulau Tioman, Peninsular Malaysia.

## Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov.

## Lao Parachute Gecko

Fig. 10
Ptychozoon lionotum Teynié et al., 2014:35 (in part); Manthey \& Manthey, 2017:42
Holotype. NCSM 80585 adult female collected by Sengvilay Seateun and Misan Keooudone on 16 June 2012 from Houay Ta Ang Stream, Pakkading District, Bolikhamxay Province, Laos ( $18.32997^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $103.99140^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 163 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level).

Paratypes. FMNH 271140 adult female collected by Bryan L. Stuart, Somphouthone Phimmachak, and Niane Sivongxay on 28 May 2007 from Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Phou Ack Mountain, Boualapha District, Khammouan Province, Laos ( $17.64433^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 105.73667^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 980 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level); and NUOL 00036 adult female collected by Bryan L. Stuart, Somphouthone Phimmachak, and Jennifer A. Sheridan on 17 May 2013 from Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Phou Ack Mountain, Boualapha District, Khammouan Province, Laos ( $17.64256^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 105.73600^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 992 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level).

Diagnosis. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. differs from all other species of Ptychozoon by having the following unique combination of characters: a maximum SVL of 95.4 mm ; supranasals not in contact; 8-10 supralabials; 10-12 infralabials; infra-auricular cutaneous flap; weak, supra-auricular ridge present; no dorsal or caudal tubercles; imbricate parachute support scales on dorsal surface of patagia; no prominently raised ridges on ventral surface of patagia; 78-82 midbody dorsal scales; 33-38 ventral scales; an emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I; no enlarged femoral scales; 22 or 23 enlarged precloacal scales; 5-7 rows of enlarged post-precloacal scales; 13-17 transverse subdigital lamellae on fourth toe; no enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming whorls; approximately 27-30 scales across widest portion of caudal flap; distal lobes fusing to form a short, narrow caudal flap; edges of caudal flap weakly crenulated; caudal lobes angled posteriorly; caudal lobes decrease posteriorly in size; thick, dark, postorbital stripe; four dark body bands between limb insertions; irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings variably present; and subcaudal region banded in adults (Tables 4, 5).

Description of holotype. Adult female SVL 85.1 mm ; head moderate (HL/SVL 0.27), wide (HW/HL 0.79), depressed (HD/HL 0.41), distinct from neck; snout rounded at tip in dorsal profile; prefrontal region weakly concave; lores rounded; rostral scale large, rectangular, in contact posteriorly with two supranasals and two small postnasals, dorsolaterally with nostrils, and laterally with first supralabials; supralabials (10R,L) to mid-orbital position; infralabials (12R,L); nostrils elliptical with long axes oriented obliquely, occupying anterior portion of nasal scale, bordered anteriorly by rostral, dorsally by supranasal, posteriorly by six postnasals of varying sizes (upper largest), and ventrally by first supralabial; scales on rostrum granular slightly larger than granular scales on top of head and occiput; eyes large (ED/HL 0.21), less than snout length; pupil vertically elliptical, crenelated; supraciliaries elongate, posteriormost weakly pointed; auricular opening rounded, bearing a weak, supra-auricular ridge; tympanum deeply sunk; infra-auricular flap broad, rounded, extending from below corner of mouth to lateral margin of neck midway between posterior margin of ear opening and forelimb insertion, measuring 5.6 mm at its widest point; dorsal scales of infra-auricular flap large, subimbricate proximally, small juxtaposed distally, ventral flap scales minute and granular; mental triangular, as wide as deep, bordered laterally by first infralabials and posteriorly by paired, rectangular postmentals contacting medially for $100 \%$ of their length; one row of enlarged sublabials bordering infralabials, anteriormost largest; gular scales small, rounded, grading into larger imbricating throat and subimbricate pectoral and ventral scales.

Body dorsoventrally depressed, relatively stout (AXG/SVL 0.47 ); patagia 7.4 mm at midpoint of body bearing enlarged, subimbricate, rectangular scales dorsally, minute, juxtaposed, subrectangular scales ventrally; ventral surface not bearing raised ridges of granular scales; 81 minute, flat, round, juxtaposed midbody dorsal scales, largest mid-dorsally; no large flat dorsal scales immediately anterior to the hind limb insertions; 35 transverse rows of large, smooth, flat, subimbricate ventral scales much larger than dorsal scales, decreasing in size laterally into granular scales at the base of the flap; 22 enlarged, precloacal scales; seven rows of enlarged, post-precloacal scales; and scales immediately anterior to vent granular.

Limbs short, robust (FL/SVL 0.12; TBL/SVL 0.16); dorsal scales of forelimbs, flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; ventral forelimb scales subimbricate; anterior and posterior margins of forelimbs, and posterior margins of hind limbs bearing wide, cutaneous flaps; that of anterior margin of forearm (i.e. pre-antebrachial flap) emarginated distally and terminates low on the base of digit I, that of the foreleg does not reach the base of digit I;
scales of forelimb flaps large, elongate, subimbricate; those of hind limb flaps much smaller, rounded, subimbricate; palmar scales smooth, rounded; digits fully webbed, relatively short, dorsoventrally compressed; undivided transverse subdigital lamellae number 15 (I), 14 (II), 13 (III), 15 (IV), 14 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads; claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale; dorsal scales of hind limbs, flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; ventral scales of hind limbs flat, subimbricate, smaller than ventral scales of belly; flat; scales of anterior margin of thigh subimbricate; plantar scales smooth, subimbricate; digits fully webbed; transverse subdigital lamellae number 10 (I), 13 (II), 13 (III), 14 (IV), 11 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads, and claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale.

Tail original, flattened, same length as SVL (TaL/SVL 1.00); two median rows of transversely widened, smooth subcaudals anteriorly becoming less regular and broken up posteriorly; postcloacal scales large, flat, imbricate; dorsal caudals flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales and not bearing transversely aligned whorls of enlarged scales; tail width and caudal lobes decrease posteriorly; 23 caudal lobes on each side slightly angled posteriorly; and tail terminates in a short flap ( 11.9 mm ) bearing weakly crenulated edges.

TABLE 7. Meristic, mensural (in mm), and diagnostic characters of Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. See Materials and methods for character abbreviations. / = data unobtainable. Numbers in parentheses refer to numbered localities in Figure

|  | NCSM 80585 (33) | NUOL 00036 (32) | FMNH 271140 (31) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pakkading, Laos | Phou Ack, Laos | Phou Ack, Laos |
|  | holotype | paratype | paratype |
| sex | f | f | f |
| supralabials to middle of eyeball (SU) | 10 | 8 | 8 |
| infralabials (IL) | 12 | 10 | 10 |
| supra-auricular lobe | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge |
| midbody dorsal scales (MB) | 81 | 82 | 78 |
| midbody ventral scales (VS) | 35 | 38 | 33 |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacals in males (PP) | $/$ | 1 | 1 |
| enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | $/$ | 1 | 1 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows (PPS) | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae (TL4) | 14 | 17 | 13 |
| enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming whorls | absent | absent | absent |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap (CF) | 28 | 30 | 27 |
| edges of caudal flap smooth or crenulated | weakly crenulated | weakly crenulated | weakly crenulated |
| thick postorbital stripe | yes | yes | yes |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | no | no | yes |
| SVL | 85.1 | 89.1 | 95.4 |
| TL | 85.2 | 83.1 | 94.8 |
| TW | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9.4 |
| HL | 22.7 | 23.5 | 25.6 |
| HW | 18.0 | 17.6 | 18.8 |
| HD | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.4 |
| SNL | 9.7 | 9.7 | 10.5 |
| ED | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| TD | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| IN | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| IO | 1.8 | 2.6 | 10.1 |
| AXG | 39.8 | 10.5 | 15.9 |
| FL | 10.0 | 13.1 |  |
| TBL |  |  |  |



FIGURE 10. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. A. Paratype NUOL 00036 from Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Phou Ack Mountain, Boualapha District, Khammouan Province, Laos. Photograph by B. L. Stuart. B. Paratype FMNH 271140 from Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Phou Ak Mountain, Boualapha District, Khammouan Province, Laos. Photograph by B. L. Stuart. C. Holotype NCSM 80585 from Houay Ta Ang Stream, Pakkading District, Bolikhamxay Province, Laos. Photograph by L. L. Grismer.


FIGURE 11. Habitat of Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp, nov. at Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Phou Ack Mountain, Boualapha District, Khammouan Province, Laos. Photograph by B. L. Stuart.

Light phase coloration and pattern in preservative (Fig. 10). Dorsal ground color of head, body, and tail light-brown; top of head essentially unicolor; labial scales much lighter than body, demarkated by thin, dark lines at their junctures; infra-auricular flap same lighter color as labial scales and gular region; four, thin, sinuous dorsal bands between limb insertion eventually transitioning into approximately two dark-brown caudal bands towards the end of the tail; terminus of caudal flap white; subcaudal region mottled anteriorly and banded; pectoral region, belly, and ventral surfaces of limbs dull-white with no stippling.

Variation. Variation in coloration and pattern varies due to this species' having dark and light phases and its ability to substrate match. Color pattern variation in the paratypes described here is based on preserved material. The paratypes closely approximate the holotype in coloration and pattern. Caudal banding is distinct in all specimens. The wide white band on the caudal flap extends all the way to the tip in the holotype whereas in the paratypes a black band encompasses the tip. Paratype FMNH 271140 has irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings that are absent in the paratype NUOL 00036 and the holotype. Variation in meristic characters is presented in Table 7.

Comparisons (Tables 4, 5; Figs. 3, 5, 6). Differences between Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. and P. cicakterbang sp. nov. are listed above in the comparisons section of P. cicakterbang sp. nov. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. differs from $P$. intermedium, $P$. kuhli, and $P$. trinotaterra in lacking, as opposed to having, caudal tubercles. From $P$. intermedium, $P$. nicobarense, $P$. rhacophorus, $P$. trinotaterra, and $P$. kaengkrachanense it differs in having four body bands as opposed to 0-3. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. differs from P. bannaense, P. horsfieldii, P. intermedium, P. kuhli, P. nicobarense, P. rhacophorus, $P$. trinotaterra, and $P$. kaengkrachanense in having an emarginated preantebrachial flap as opposed to lacking an emargination. From P. popaense it differs by having a maximum SVL of 95.4 mm versus 86.2 mm . Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. differs from P. lionotum, and P. tokehos sp. nov. by having a significantly higher mean number of infralabials. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. differs from P. tokehos sp.
nov. in having a significantly wider head. Even though Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. and P. tokehos sp. nov. have nearly discrete differences in their numbers of midbody scales (78-82 and 80-95, respectively), their mean differences ( 80.3 versus 89.0 ) were not significantly different in the ANOVA ( $p=0.09$ ) although they were significantly different in a two-sample Student $t$-test $(t=5.585 ; p=0.002)$. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. is the sister species of $P$. lionotum but differs further from it by having a significantly shorter snout. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. is well-separated from P. cicakterbang sp. nov. and P. lionotum in the PCA and from all species in the DAPC where their $95 \%$ confidence ellipses do not overlap. Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. occupies a significantly different position along PC1 from that of $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and along PC2, it occupies a significantly different positon from that of $P$. tokehos sp. nov. From all species of the lionotum group it differs by having an uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence of $4.1-14.4 \%$. Combinations of other characters differentiating $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. from the other more distantly related species are presented in Table 5.

Distribution (Fig. 1). Ptychozoon kabkaebin sp. nov. is endemic to the eastern parts of northern and central Laos although it will likely be found in adjacent areas of central Vietnam.

Etymology. The specific epithet kabkaebin is the Lao word used for Ptychozoon.
Natural history. NCSM 80585 was collected during the day from beneath a house on stilts that was located along a stream in degraded semi-evergreen forest at 160 m in elevation. FMNH 271140 was collected during the day $(1115 \mathrm{~h})$ at 980 m in elevation and NUOL 00036 was collected during the night $(2130 \mathrm{~h})$ at 992 m in elevation, both on large boulders in a mosaic of evergreen, deciduous, and pine forest with grassy understory at the edge of a high cliff on a steep escarpment. (Fig. 11).

## Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov.

## Cambodian Parachute Gecko

Fig. 12
Ptychozoon kuhli Smith, 1935:119 (in part)
Ptychozoon lionotum Bourret 1937-1947 in Bourret, 2009:169 (in part); Smith, 1935:118 (in part); Manthey \& Grossmann, 1997:247 (in part); Cox et al., 1998:81 (in part); Das, Dattagupta, \& Gayen, 1998:131 (in part); Chan-ard et al., 1999:132 (in part); Pauwels et al., 2000: 132; Kluge, 2001:25 (in part); Pauwels et al., 2002: 27; Stuart \& Emmet, 2006:18; Grismer et al., 2008:22; Nguyen et al., 2009:236; Grismer, 2011a:532, 2011b:139; Grismer et al., 2011:62 (in part); Sumontha et al., 2012: 74; Herr \& Lee, 2016:111; Vassilieva et al., 2016:162; Grismer \& Quah, 2019:234.
Ptychozoon lionatum [sic.] Taylor, 1963:807; Grismer et al., 2007:231 (in part)
Ptychozoon trinotaterra Grismer et al., 2011:64

Holotype. FMNH 261853 adult female, collected by Bryan L. Stuart and Dara Anon 5 June 2000 from Kirirom National Park, Phnom Sruoch District, Kampong Speu Province, Cambodia (11.32611N, $104.06556^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 700 \mathrm{~m}$ in elevation).

Paratypes. FMNH 261851-52 bear the same collection data as the holotype except they were collected on 3 June 2000. FMNH 261854 bears the same collection data as the holotype except it was collected on 5 November 2000 by Joe Walston. CBC 03162 adult female collected by Neang Thy on 9 June 2017 from Bokor National Park, Teuk Chou District, Kampot Province, Cambodia ( $10.60156^{\circ}$ N, $104.03200^{\circ}$ E, 325 m in elevation). NCSM 98986 adult female collected by Neang Thy on 10 June 2017 from Bokor National Park, Teuk Chou District, Kampot Province, Cambodia ( $10.60372^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 104.02944^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 328 m in elevation). NCSM 98987 adult female collected by Neang Thy on 12 June 2017 from Bokor National Park, Teuk Chou District, Kampot Province, Cambodia ( $10.58753^{\circ}$ N, $104.03269^{\circ}$ E, 315 m in elevation). FMNH 177359 adult male collected by Edward H. Taylor on 11 June 1969 from the Khao Chong Reserve, Trang Province, Thailand $\left(7.54350^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 99.79800^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 127 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation). FMNH 181844 adult male collected by W. Ronald Heyer on 11 June 1969 from Pak Thong Chai District, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Sakaerat (Environmental Research Station), Thailand $\left(14.50000^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 100.86670^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 16 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation).

Additional specimens examined. FMNH 177358 and 177550 adult females collected by Edward H. Taylor on 11 June 1969 from the Khao Chong Reserve, Trang Province, Thailand $\left(7.54350^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 99.79800^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 127 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation). FMNH 181823-24, 181828-29 adult males collected by W. Ronald Heyer on 11 June 1969 from Pak Thong Chai District, Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Sakaerat (Environmental Research Station), Thailand ( $14.50000^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $100.86670^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 16 m in elevation). USNM 587523 adult female collected by Daniel Mulcahy on 27 May 2015 from the proposed Lenya National Park, Tanintharyi Region, Myanmar $\left(11.05080^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 98.91720^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 58 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation).

FMNH 177548 adult male collected by Oliver G. Young in 1961 from Chiang Mai, Chiang Mai District, Chiang Mai Province, Thailand $\left(18.79528^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 98.99861^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 314 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation). MNHN 1998.590 and 1999.7661 collected on 16 February 1998 by Olivier S. G. Pauwels from the Phang-Nga Wildlife Breeding Station, Muang District, Phang Nga Province, Thailand $\left(8.45014^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.525532^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 154 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ in elevation $)$.

Diagnosis. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. differs from all other species of Ptychozoon by having the following unique combination of characters: a maximum SVL of 97.5 mm ; supranasals not in contact; 8-11 supralabials; 10-12 infralabials; infra-auricular cutaneous flap; weak supra-auricular ridge present; no dorsal or caudal tubercles; imbricate parachute support scales on dorsal surface of patagia; prominently raised ridges on ventral surface of patagia; 80-95 midbody dorsal scales; 30-37 ventral scales; an emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I; no enlarged femoral scales; 20-24 pore-bearing precloacal scales in males; 18-25 enlarged precloacal scales; 4-7 rows of enlarged post-precloacal scales; 13-18 transverse subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe; approximately 28-34 scales across the widest portion of the caudal flap; enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming intermittent whorls; distal lobes fusing to form a short, narrow, caudal flap; edges of caudal flap smooth; caudal lobes angled posteriorly; caudal lobes variably showing posterior reduction in size; postorbital striping variable; four dark body bands between limb insertions; and irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings usually not present (absent in 14 of 16 specimens; Tables 4, 5).

Description of holotype. Adult female SVL 97.5 mm ; head moderate (HL/SVL 0.25 ), wide (HW/HL 0.79), depressed (HD/HL 0.44), distinct from neck; snout rounded at tip in dorsal profile; prefrontal region weakly concave; lores rounded; rostral scale large, rectangular, in contact posteriorly with two supranasals and one postnasal, dorsolaterally with nostrils, and laterally with first supralabials; supralabials (10R, 9L) to mid-orbital position; infralabials (12R, 11L); nostrils elliptical with long axes oriented obliquely, occupying anterior portion of nasal scale, bordered anteriorly by rostral, dorsally by supranasal, posteriorly by four postnasals of varying sizes (upper largest), and ventrally by first supralabial; scales on rostrum granular larger than granular scales on top of head and occiput; eyes large (ED/HL 0.23), less than snout length; pupil vertically elliptical, crenelated; supraciliaries elongate, posteriormost pointed; auricular opening rounded, bearing a weak supra-auricular ridge; tympanum deeply sunk; infra-auricular flap broad, rounded, extending from below corner of mouth to lateral margin of neck midway between posterior margin of ear opening and forelimb insertion, measuring 5.0 mm at its widest point; dorsal scales of infra-auricular flap large, subimbricate proximally, small juxtaposed distally, ventral scales of flap minute and granular; mental triangular, as wide as deep, bordered laterally by first infralabials and posteriorly by paired, rectangular postmentals, posterior section of left postmental divided into three smaller scales; one row of enlarged sublabials bordering infralabials, anteriormost largest; gular scales granular, grading into larger imbricating throat and subimbricate pectoral and ventral scales.

Body dorsoventrally depressed, relatively stout (AXG/SVL 0.53 ); patagia 8.9 mm at midpoint of body bearing enlarged, subimbricate, rectangular scales dorsally, minute, juxtaposed, subrectangular scales ventrally, ventral surface bearing raised scaly ridges extending from body to edge of flap; 88 minute, flat, round, juxtaposed midbody dorsal scales, largest mid-dorsally; no large, flat, dorsal scales immediately anterior to the hind limb insertions; 36 transverse rows of large, smooth, flat, subimbricate ventral scales much larger than dorsal scales, decreasing in size laterally into granular scales at the base of the flap; 21 enlarged, precloacal scales; five rows of enlarged, post-precloacal scales; and scales immediately anterior to vent granular.

Limbs short, robust (FL/SVL 0.10; TBL/SVL 0.17); dorsal scales of forelimbs, flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales; ventral forelimb scales small, subimbricate; anterior and posterior margins of forelimbs, and posterior margins of hind limbs bearing wide, cutaneous flaps; that of anterior margin of forearm (i.e. pre-antebrachial flap) emarginated distally and terminates low on the base of digit $I$, that of the foreleg does not reach the base of digit I; scales of forelimb flaps large, elongate, subimbricate; those of hind limb flaps smaller, more rounded, subimbricate; palmar scales smooth, rounded; digits fully webbed, relatively short, dorsoventrally compressed; undivided transverse subdigital lamellae number 11 (I), 13 (II), 12 (III), 13 (IV), 12 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads; claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale; dorsal scales of hind limbs, flat, juxtaposed, same size as dorsal body scales; ventral scales of hind limbs flat, subimbricate, smaller than ventral scales of belly; scales of anterior margin of thigh large, subimbricate; plantar scales smooth, subimbricate; digits fully webbed; transverse subdigital lamellae number 11 (I), 12 (II), 14 (III), 15 (IV), 13 (V), distalmost lamellae V-shaped; claws arise from within the dorsal surface of digital pads, and claw of digit I replaced by an enlarged, flat scale.

|  | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | NCSM | CBC | NCSM | FMNH | FMNH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 261853 (25) | 261851 (25) | 261852 (26) | 261854 (26) | 98986 (27) | 03162 (27) | 98987 (27) | 177359 (15) | 18144 (21) |
|  | Kirirom | Kirirom | Kirirom | Kirirom | Bokor | Bokor | Bokor | Khao Chong | Sakaerat |
|  | Cambodia | Cambodia | Cambodia | Cambodia | Cambodia | Cambodia | Cambodia | Thailand | Thailand |
|  | holotype | paratype | paratype |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sex | f | m | f | f | f | f | f | m | m |
| supralabials (SU) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| infralabials (IL) | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 |  | 10 |  |  |
| supra-auricular lobe | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge |
| midbody transverse dorsals (MB) | 88 | 92 | 84 | 83 | 88 | 90 | 87 | 86 | 94 |
| midbody transverse ventral scales (VS) | 36 | 36 | 35 | 36 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 30 | 35 |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales in males (PP) | 1 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 23 | 22 |
| enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | 21 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 22 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows (PPS) | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae (TL4) | 15 | 18 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap (CF) | 34 | 32 | 1 | , | 34 | 28 | 29 | 34 | 34 |
| edges of flap smooth or crenulated | smooth | smooth | 1 | 1 | smooth | smooth | smooth | 1 | 1 |
| thick postorbital marking | yes | yes | faint | faint | no | no | no | no | no |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | yes | no | yes | , | no | no | no | no | no |
| SVL | 97.5 | 82.0 | 75.6 | 89.3 | 83.8 | 87.2 | 84.4 | 78.5 | 85.6 |
| TL | 86.1 | 97.5 | 58.6 r | 45.8 r | broken | 83.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 81.5 |
| TW | 7.8 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 8.2 |
| HL | 24.7 | 23.6 | 21.7 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 21.7 | 23.8 |
| HW | 19.5 | 18.6 | 16.3 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 17.8 |
| HD | 10.8 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 9.3 |
| SNL | 12.0 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 10.9 |
| ED | 5.7 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 9.7 | 5.4 |
| TD | 3.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| IN | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| IO | 9.7 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 7.9 | 3.5 |
| AXG | 51.8 | 44.2 | 34.3 | 39.6 | 41.0 | 45.0 | 40.0 | 36.1 | 38.1 |
| FL | 9.9 | 19.3 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 8.9 |
| TBL | 16.7 | 16.6 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.3 |

TABLE 8. Continued.

|  | MNHN | MNHN | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | FMNH | USNM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1990.0590$ <br> (16) | $1999.7661$ (16) | 177358 (15) | 177550 (15) | 181823 (21) | 181824 (21) | 181828 (21) | 181829 (21) | 177548 (20) | 587523 (19) |
|  | Phang Nga | Phang Nga | Khao Chong | Khao Chong | Sakaerat | Sakaerat | Sakaerat | Sakarat | Chiang Mai | Tanintharyi |
|  | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Thailand non-type | Myanmar non-type |
| sex | m | f | f | f | m | m | m | m | m | f |
| supralabials (SU) | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| infralabials (IL) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| supra-auricular lobe | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge | small ridge |
| midbody transverse dorsals (MB) | 85 | 80 | 82 | 89 | 89 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 90 | 87 |
| midbody transverse ventral scales (VS) | 30 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 35 | 32 | 37 | 35 | 30 |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacal scales in males (PP) | 21 | ' | , | ' | 20 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 21 | ' |
| enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | 23 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 21 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows (PPS) | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae (TL4) | 16 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 13 |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap (CF) | 1 | ' | 1 | 28 | ' | ' | 30 | 33 | 1 | 1 |
| edges of caudal flap smooth or crenulated | 1 | 1 | , | , | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
| thick postorbital marking | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| SVL | 78.4 | 87.1 | 76.8 | 78.5 | 72.4 | 73.6 | 87.2 | 81.1 | 80.2 | 92.0 |
| TL | 78.0 r | 71.5 r | 20.7b | 75.0 | 66.7 r | 45.8 | 82.0 | 75.7 | 73.5 r | 52.0r |
| Tw | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| HL | 22.0 | 23.5 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 20.3 | 24.8 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 25.9 |
| HW | 19.4 | 18.4 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 17.8 |
| HD | 8.8 | 10.8 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| SNL | 10.3 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 11.5 |
| ED | 5.1 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 4.9 | , | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| TD | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.91 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| in | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1 |
| IO | 8.3 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.5 |
| AXG | 38.1 | 46.4 | 32.8 | 36.0 | 32.9 | 34.4 | 42.1 | 38.8 | 33.0 | 44.0 |
| FL | 8.9 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 9.14 | 11.0 |
| тBL | 12.7 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 15.3 |



FIGURE 12. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. A. CBC 1217 from Phnom Samkos, Pursat Province, Cambodia. Photograph by Neang Thy. B. Paratype CBC 03162 from Bokor National Park, Teuk Chou District, Kampot Province, Cambodia. Photograph by Neang Thy. C. USNM 587523 from Lenya National Park, Tanintharyi Region, Myanmar. Photograph by D. Mulcahy. D. Holotype FMNH 261853 from Kirirom National Park, Phnom Sruoch District, Kampong Speu Province, Cambodia. Photograph by L. L. Grismer.


FIGURE 13. Forest habitat of Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. A. Phnom Samkos, Pursat Province, Cambodia. B. Bokor National Park, Teuk Chou District, Kampot Province, Cambodia. Photographs by L. L. Grismer.

Tail original, flattened, shorter than SVL (TL/SVL 0.88); two median rows of transversely widened, smooth subcaudals anteriorly becoming less regular and broken up posteriorly; postcloacal scales large, flat, imbricate; dorsal caudals flat, juxtaposed, larger than dorsal body scales, bearing whorls of larger scales; tail width and caudal lobes decrease posteriorly; 22 caudal lobes on each side slightly angled posteriorly; and tail terminates in a short, narrow flap ( 10.0 mm ) bearing smooth edges.

Dark phase coloration in life (Fig. 12). Dorsal ground color of head, body, and tail brown; top of head darkly speckled; darker, inverted Y-shaped marking overlying nape and occiput; labial scales lighter than body, delimited by thin, dark lines at their junctures; infra-auricular flap same color as labials; irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings between and just posterior to the forelimb insertions and in the sacral region; four faint, thin, deeply sinuous dorsal bands between limb insertion transitioning into approximately five wide, faint, caudal bands; terminal caudal band on caudal flap dull-white; subcaudal region mottled, weakly banded; iris bronze; gular region, throat, ventral surfaces of limbs, pectoral region, and belly dull-white with stippled scales. In preservation, the coloration is uniformly dull-grey on all dorsal surfaces with only faint patterning visible.

Variation (Fig. 12). Variation in coloration and pattern is highly variable due to this species' having dark and light color phases and its ability to substrate match. Color pattern variation in the paratypes described here is based on preserved and living material. The paratypes closely approximate the holotype in all aspects coloration and pattern. Caudal banding is present in all specimens in varying degrees of distinctness. FMNH 261852-53 have a series of large, irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings extending from the nape to the postsacral region whereas no other specimens $(\mathrm{N}=17)$ have these markings. FMNH 261852 and 261854 have partially regenerated tails bearing a single flap with no lobes. FMNH 177359, 181844, and 261851 are males bearing 23, 22, and 21 pore-bearing precloacal scales. Variation in meristic characters is presented in Table 8.

Comparisons (Tables 4, 5; Figs. 3, 5, 6). Differences between Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov., P. kabkaebin sp. nov., and P. cicakterbang sp. nov. are listed above in the comparisons sections of those species. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. differs from P. intermedium, P. kuhli, and P. trinotaterra in lacking, as opposed to having, caudal tubercles. From P. intermedium, P. nicobarense, P. rhacophorus, P. trinotaterra, and P. kaengkrachanense it differs in having four body bands as opposed to 0-3. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. differs from P. bannaense, P. horsfieldii, $P$. intermedium, P. kuhli, P. nicobarense, P. rhacophorus, P. trinotaterra, and P. kaengkrachanense in having an emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I as opposed to no emargination. From P. popaense it differs by having a maximum SVL of 97.5 mm versus 86.2 mm . Ptychozoon tokehos $\mathbf{~ s p}$. nov. is well-separated from $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and P. lionotum in the PCA and from all species in the DAPC where their $95 \%$ confidence ellipses do not overlap. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. occupies a significantly different position along PC1 from that of $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and along PC2, it occupies a significantly different positon from those of $P$. kabkaebin sp. nov. and $P$. lionotum. From all other species of the $P$. lionotum group it is further separated by an uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence of $4.1-15.5 \%$. Combinations of other characters differentiating $P$. kabkaebin $\mathbf{~ s p}$. nov. from other more distantly related species are presented in Table 5.

Distribution (Fig. 1). Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. has a circum-Gulf of Thailand distribution extending from Cat Tien, Dong Nai Province and Phu Quoc Island, Kien Giang Province in southern Vietnam (Nguyen et al. 2009), across the mountainous Cardamom region of southern Cambodia and eastern Thailand, around the Chao Phraya Basin and southward down the Thai-Malay Peninsula of Thailand and Myanmar to at least Hat Yai, Songkla Province, Thailand. Nguyen et al. (2009) report P. tokehos sp. nov. from Trang Bom, Dong Nai Province, in southern Vietnam based on an illustration in Bourret (2009; Fig. 39.) The specimen illustrated however, has three body bands instead of four indicating it may be $P$. trinotaterra. We have examined photographs of specimens from southern Thailand near the Thai-Malay border from Hat Yai, Songkla (LUSDPC 10946) and Muang Trat, Trat (LSUDPC 10947) that we consider P. tokehos sp. nov. and not P. cicakterbang sp. nov. as they have a weak supra-auricular ridge as opposed to a prominent supra-auricular lobe. Confirmation awaits the examination of these specimens (Grismer et al. in prep).

Etymology. The specific epithet tokehos is the Khmer (Cambodian) word used for Ptychozoon.
Natural history. Ptychozoon tokehos sp. nov. is a forest-dwelling species found in hilly areas from sea level to at least 700 m in elevation. This species does quite well in disturbed forests and is commonly found on man-made structures. The holotype and paratypes from Kirirom National Park (FMNH 261851-54) were all found during the day $(1400-1630 \mathrm{~h})$ on or near the exterior walls of a building on a grassy plateau within open pine forest. FMNH 261851 was found on the branch of a large tree abutting an exterior wall, FMNH 261852 was found beneath a layer
of paint peeling off the exterior wall of the building approximately 2.5 m above the ground, and FMNH 261853-54 were found on the exterior walls. Pauwells et al. (2000) noted that MNHN 1998.590 and 1999.7661 from PhangNga were collected from walls inside a forestry department office building where other juveniles and adults were seen. They also observed other specimens inside houses and on the outside of cages in a zoo. MNHN 1999.7661 was carrying two eggs. Additionally they found a pair of the eggs 1.6 m above the ground glued to a tree in evergreen forest of which one contained a fully formed embryo. These observations indicate that the reproductive season of $P$. tokehos sp. nov. in southern Thailand occurs during mid-February. All paratypes from Bokor National Park (CBC 03162, NCSM 98986-87; Fig. 13) were found at night approximately 1.3 m above the ground on the trunks of trees along a trail in disturbed evergreen forest between 315 m and 328 m in elevation. The paratype FMNH 18144 and additional specimens from Sakaerat (FMNH 181823-24, 181828-29) were collected from an undisturbed lowland forest at approximately 16 m in elevation. The Tanintharyi specimen (USNM 587523) was collected from the trunk of a tree along a logging road in secondary forest at 58 m in elevation. The paratype and additional specimens (FMNH 177358-59, 177550) from Khao Chong, Thailand were all collected from primary forest at approximately 130 m in elevation. A hatchling (FMNH 177360) from Khao Chong was collected during June.

## Ptychozoon lionotum Annandale, 1905

## Burmese Parachute Gecko

Fig. 14

Ptychozoon homalocephalum var. lionotum Annandale, 1905:31
Ptychozoon homalocephalum Var. lionatum (sic.) Das, Dattagupta, \& Gayen 1998:133

Syntypes. ZSI 2601-02. The type locality for Ptychozoon lionotum is commonly listed as "Pegu" (Annandale 1905; Smith 1935; Uetz et al. 2019). At the time of its description, Pegu was the name of the administrative district that covered a broad area of the southern one-third of the Ayeyarwady Basin, Myanmar where the collector, Signor L. Fea (Fea 1897), obtained a single male specimen (one of the syntypes). Earlier, however, Boulenger (1893:316) noted that Fea's specimen came from "Palon" which according to Grismer et al. (2018b), is the town of Hpa Lon, Yangon Region. Hpa Lon was also noted as the type locality for Cyrtodactylus peguensis Boulenger, 1893 which was restricted to the "Myin Mo Swhe Taung Pagoda, Yangon Division, Taikkyi Township, Yangon (north) District, Myanmar", 9.5 km to the east as this was the closest suitable hilly habitat for C. peguensis. However, being that Ptychozoon are commonly found on walls and other surfaces on man-made structures, especially in rural areas, it is conceivable that the specimen was collected in the village of Hpa Lon and thus, we restrict the type locality to Hpa Lon, Taikkyi Township, Yangon (north) District, Yangon Division, Myanmar (17.44552 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 95.91485^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 20 \mathrm{~m}$ in elevation; Fig. 1). Annandale (1905) reported on three specimens from "Pegu" that he considered conspecific and apparently allocated two of them as syntypes. We presume one of those was the specimen collected by Signor L. Fea and reported on by Boulenger (1893). We do not know which of the other specimens is the second syntype.

Diagnosis. Ptychozoon lionotum differs from all other species of Ptychozoon by having the following unique combination of characters: a maximum SVL of 95.9 mm ; supranasals not in contact; 7-9 supralabials; 9-11 infralabials; infra-auricular cutaneous flap present; absent to weak supra-auricular ridge; no dorsal or caudal tubercles; imbricate parachute support scales on dorsal surface of patagia; no prominently raised ridges on ventral surface of patagia; 73-81 midbody dorsal scales; 35-38 ventral scales; an emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I; no enlarged femoral scales; 20-22 pore-bearing precloacal scales in males; 23 or 24 enlarged precloacal scales; five rows of enlarged post-precloacal scales; 16 or 17 transverse subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe; slightly enlarged dorsal caudal scales forming intermittent whorls weak to absent; approximately 29-34 scales across the widest portion of the caudal flap; distal lobes fusing to form a short, narrow, caudal flap; edges of caudal flap smooth; caudal lobes angled posteriorly; caudal lobes showing minimal posterior reduction in size; postorbital striping variable; four dark body bands between limb insertions; and irregularly shaped, white, vertebral markings (Tables 4, 5, 9).

Distribution (Fig. 1). Ptychozoon lionotum ranges from Tad Kuang Si, Luang Phabang Province in northeastern Laos, west to Chin Minbyin Village, on Ramree Island, Rakhine State, in southwestern Myanmar and northward to south Mizoram in eastern India (Pawar \& Biswas 2001).
TABLE 9. Character state matrix for Ptychozoon lionotum and P. trinotaterra for the newly discovered localities.

|  | NCSM | NCSM | CAS | CAS | NCSM | FMNH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 79474 (36) | 79473 (36) | 238865 (39) | 221168 (37) | 77915 | 177549 |
|  | lionotum | lionotum | lionotum | lionotum | trinotaterra | kaengkrachanense |
|  | Tad Kuang Si | Tad Kuang Si | Rakkine | Bago Divisiom | Nakai Nam Theun | Chiang Mai |
|  | Laos | Laos | Myanmar | Myanmar | Laos | Thailand |
| sex | m | f | m |  | m | m |
| supranasals in contact | no | no | no | no | yes | no |
| supralabials to middle of eyeball (SU) | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| infralabials (IL) | 10 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| infra-auricular cutaneous flap present | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| supra-auricular lobe | absent | weak ridge | weak ridge | weak ridge | absent | weak ridge |
| dorsal and caudal tubercles | absent | absent | absent | absent | present | absent |
| imbricate parachute support scales | present | present | present | present | present | present |
| midbody transverse dorsal scales (MB) | 76 | 81 | 73 | 73 | 81 | 79 |
| midbody transverse ventral scales (VS) | 38 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 28 | 30 |
| space between pre-antebrachial flap and digit I | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no |
| enlarged femoral scales | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent | absent |
| enlarged pore-bearing precloacals in males (PP) | 22 | / | 20 | / | 17 | 15 |
| enlarged precloacal scales (PS) | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 17 | 17 |
| enlarged post-precloacal scale rows PPS) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| transversely expanded 4th toe lamellae (TL4) | 16 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 12 |
| scales across widest portion of caudal flap (CF) | / | 34 | 29 | / | / | / |
| distal caudal lobes fused into short caudal flap | 1 | yes | yes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| edges of caudal flap smooth or crenulated | / | smooth | smooth | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| caudal lobe angling | 1 | slightly | slightly | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| tail and caudal lobes with distal reduction | / | no | no | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| thick postorbital stripe | / | yes | no | no | yes | yes |
| bands between limb insertions | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| irregularly shaped, white vertebral markings | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no |

TABLE 9. (Continued)

|  | NCSM | NCSM | CAS | CAS | NCSM | FMNH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 79474 (36) | 79473 (36) | 238865 (39) | 221168 (37) | 77915 | 177549 |
|  | lionotum | lionotum | lionotum | lionotum | trinotaterra | kaengkrachanense |
|  | Tad Kuang Si | Tad Kuang Si | Rakkine | Bago Division | Nakai Nam Theun | Chiang Mai |
|  | Laos | Laos | Myanmar | Myanmar | Laos | Thailand |
| SVL | 89.2 | 95.9 | 79.1 | 81.5 | 71.1 | 78.7 |
| TL | 71.4 | 106.5 | 85.7 | 1 | 52.6r | , |
| TW | 8.01 | 9.60 | 7.3 | 1 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| HL | 86.0 | 27.2 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 19.2 | 21.6 |
| HW | 75.4 | 20.8 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 14.5 | 17.5 |
| HD | 24.6 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 10.4 |
| SNL | 18.4 | 13.1 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 9.5 |
| ED | 9.2 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| TympD | 11.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| IN internarial | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| IO interorbital | 2.1 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 8.0 |
| AGD | 3.1 | 48.9 | 35.5 | 35.9 | 34.4 | 39.2 |
| FL | 22.0 | 22.6 | 9.4 | 16.7 | 10.10 | 9.5 |
| TBL | 16.7 | 16.3 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 13.5 |

Natural history. The specimens collected from the Tad Kuang Si Provincial Protected Area, Laos (NSCM 79473-74; Fig. 15) were caught at night in semi-evergreen forest $1.5-2 \mathrm{~m}$ above the ground on the trunks of large trees. CAS 238865 from Chin Minbyin Village in Myanmar was collected at 1100 hrs approximately 2 m above the ground on a tree. CUMZ.2005.07.30.40 from Khao Luang, Surat Thani Province, Thailand was found on the trunk of a tree at night facing head down approximately 30 cm above the ground.


FIGURE 14. Ptychozoon lionotum. A. NCSM 79473 from Tad Kuang Si, Luang Prabang Province, Laos. Photograph by B. L. Stuart. B. CAS 238865 Rakhine State, Myanmar. Photograph by Myanmar Herpetological Survey.


FIGURE 15. Forest habitat of Ptychozoon lionotum at Tad Kuang Si, Luang Prabang Province, Laos. Photograph by B. L. Stuart.


FIGURE 16. Locality data (map) and new distributional records for Ptychozoon kaengkrachaense (Sumontha et al. 2012) and $P$. trionotaterra. Thailand: 1. Type locality in Nakhon Ratchasima Province (Brown 1999); 2. Ubon Ratchathani Province (Kunya et al. 2011). Cambodia: 3. Siem Reap Province (Hartmann et al. 2014); 4. Keo Seima, Mondulkiri Province (LSUDPC 10961) newly reported here. 5. Ratanakiri Province (LSUDPC 10962) newly reported here. Vietnam: 6. Dong Nai province (Nguyen et al. 2009); 7. Dak Lak Province (Brown 1999); 8. Gia Lai Province (Brown 1999). Laos: 9. Nakai-Nam Theun, Khammouan Province (NCSM 77915) newly reported here.

## Notes on the distribution of Ptychozoon kaengkrachanense and P. trinotaterra

Brown et al. (2012) recovered Ptychozoon trinotaterra as the sister species of $P$. kuhli in their phylogenetic analysis which is confirmed here with an additional specimen NCSM 77915 (Figs. 2, 16) from a new locality in Laos. NCSM 77915 was collected in Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, WMPA headquarters, Nakai District, Khammouan Province, Laos $\left(17.50500^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 105.14639^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right.$ ) on 10 November 2007 by William G. Robichaud and represents a range extension of 245 km to the north from Ubon Ratchathani Province, Thailand (Kunya et al. 2012; Fig. 16) and the first confirmed account of this species for Laos. NCSM 77915 bears the combination of diagnostic characters that separate it from P. lionotum (see Brown 1999) by having three versus four dark-colored dorsal body bands, 28 versus $35-38$ ventral scales, 17 versus $20-22$ pore-bearing precloacal scales in males, 17 versus 23 or 24 enlarged precloacal scales, 13 versus 16 or 17 subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe, paired middorsal caudal tubercles versus no caudal tubercles, no emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I versus having an emargination, and a 71.1 mm maximum SVL versus a 95.9 maximum SVL (Table 9). We examined photographs of two additional specimens, LSUDPC 10961 from Keo Seima, Mondulkiri and LSUDPC 10962 from Ratanakiri (exact locality not provided), that had three bands between the limb insertions that we consider to represent new localities for $P$. trinotaterra in Cambodia (Fig. 16).

A second specimen (FMNH 177549; Fig. 16) from Chiang Mai, Chiang Mai Province, Thailand $\left(18.70585^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$ $98.98350^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 299 \mathrm{~m}$ in elevation) was cataloged as $P$. lionotum. FMNH 177549 bears the combination of diagnostic characters that separate it from P. lionotum (Brown 1999) in having three versus four dorsal body bands, 30 versus $35-38$ ventral scales, 15 versus 20-22 pore-bearing precloacal scales in males, 17 versus 23 or 24 enlarged precloacal scales, 12 versus 16 or 17 subdigital lamellae on the fourth toe, no emargination between the pre-antebrachial flap and digit I versus an emargination being present, and a 78.7 mm maximum SVL versus a 95.9 maximum SVL (Table 9). Although these characters align this specimen with P. kaengkrachanense (Sumontha et al. 2012) we consider this identification tentative. The tail is missing so caudal tuberculation could not be evaluated. FMNH 177549 may represent a considerable range extension of 629 km to the north from the type locality of Kaeng Krachan National Park, Phetchaburi Province, Thailand (Fig. 16). At this point we consider this specimen less likely to belong to P. bannaense from Yunnan Province, China approximately 390 km to the northwest in that it has $15 \mathrm{vs} 17(\mathrm{n}=1)$ pore-bearing precloacal scales and a SVL of 71.1. mm versus 83.2-87.2 $(\mathrm{n}=2)$. Its specific confirmation must await additional specimens and a molecular analysis.

## Discussion

Ptychozoon lionotum s.l. is demonstrated here to be a paraphyletic species complex composed of four deeply divergent, diagnosable, allopatric lineages and like so many "species" that were originally purported to range throughout large areas of Indochina (e.g. Stuart et al. 2006; Malhotra et al. 2011; Sheridan \& Stuart 2018; Murdoch et al. 2019), this was more of an expectation than a surprise. Unlike other Indochinese gekkonid lineages that manifest cladogenic turnover across well-known biogeographic barriers (e.g. Agarwal et al. 2014; Grismer et al. 2017, 2018a,b; Grismer \& Davis 2018), this is not always the case in the P. lionotum group. As noted above, P. lionotum extends approximately 1100 km from western Laos to Ramree Island in southwestern Myanmar and in so doing, crosses the Tenasserim Mountains that separate Thailand and Myanmar, the Sagaing Fault between the Eastern Yoma Mountains and Ayeyarwady Basin, and the entire southern section of the Ayeyarwady Basin (Fig. 1). These are known regions of cladogenic turnover in a number of other gekkonid lineages, namely Cyrtodactylus (Agarwal et al. 2014; Grismer et al. 2018a,b) and Hemiphyllodactylus (Grismer et al. 2017; Grismer \& Davis 2018). Yet the uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence among individuals $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ of $P$. lionotum is $0.00 \%$ (Fig. 3). At this juncture, we have no logical hypothesis to explain this unexpected phylogeographic pattern. Conversely, modest phylogeographic structure occurs within $P$. tokehos sp. nov. USNM 587523 from Tanintharyi, Myanmar north of the Isthmus of Kra in southern Thailand and CUMZ.2005.07.30.40 from Khao Luang immediately south of the Isthmus of Kra (Fig. 1) are separated by approximately 250 km and a sequence divergence of $5.2 \%$. Sequence divergence between USNM 587523 and CUMZ.2005.07.30.40 and the Cardamom Mountain specimens in Cambodia (FMNH 261852, CBC 0316, NCSM 98986-87) across the Gulf of Thailand ranges from 4.1-6.2\% (Fig. 3). The upper and lower ranges of divergence within $P$. tokehos sp. nov. will likely be extended with additional sampling from the intervening areas
as well as Chiang Mai, Thailand and the Pattani region between Khao Luang and the Thai-Malay border (Fig. 1). This may require additional taxonomic changes (Grismer et al. in prep.). Ptychozoon cicakterbang sp. nov. shows significant genetic divergence amongst some of its populations that does not correspond to geography. For example, LSUHC 5539, 5597, and 11894 collected within 100 m of one another along the same trail on the small Island of Sibu off the southeast coast of Peninsular Malaysia share a sequence divergence among them of $7.2-8.2 \%$. Whereas LSUHC 11418, 11419, and 11439 from the small island of Bidong off the northwest coast are genetically identical. It may be that Sanger sequencing has reached its descriptive limits within $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and that a phylogenomic data set will be needed to resolve these apparent inconsistencies. The phylogeographic break between $P$. cicakterbang sp. nov. and (P. popaense, ( $P$. tokehos sp. nov. ((P. lionotum + P. kabkaebin sp. nov.))) occurs across the Kangar-Pattani Line on the Thai-Malay border region which is an established biogeographic region of lineage turnover for many other species (see Grismer 2011a; Grismer et al. 2014; Grismer et al. 2019; Quah \& Anuar 2018 and references therein).

Given that new species of Ptychozoon are being discovered as a result of field work in remote areas (Sumontha et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2016; Grismer et al. 2018a) and their phylogeny is composed of diagnosable species separated by long branches with divergences of $4.1-15.5 \%$ within the lionotum group and up to $17.5 \%$ among all Ptychozoon, suggests that it is very likely that more species have yet to be discovered. Given their ability to substrate match, it is not surprising that many populations are known from only a few specimens and many of these were seen only because they were low on the trunks of trees in cleared, open areas or on man-made structures (Brown et al. 1997; Brown 1999; Das \& Vijayakumar 2009; Grismer 2011a; Kunya et al. 2011; Sumontha et al. 2012; Herr \& Lee 2016; Wang et al. 2016; Fitzsimons 2017; Grismer et al. 2018a). Continued field work and tissue sampling throughout Indochina should recover additional species and fill in distribution gaps within known species and illuminate clearer biogeographic patterns.
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